



# Standardised Impact Metrics for the Off-Grid Solar Energy Sector



April 2020



## About

GOGLA is the voice of the off-grid solar energy industry. Established in 2012, GOGLA now represents over 180 members as a neutral, independent, not-for-profit industry association. Its mission is to help its members build sustainable markets, delivering quality, affordable products and services to as many households, businesses and communities as possible across the developing world. The products and solutions that GOGLA members sell transform lives. They improve health and education, create jobs and income opportunities and help consumers save money.

To find out more, go to [www.gogla.org](http://www.gogla.org)

This material has been funded by UK aid from the UK government; however the views expressed do not necessarily reflect the UK government's official policies.



Published:  
April 2020

# Table of Contents

<b>1. Why Impact Metrics Matter</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>2. Background</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>3. How to use the Metrics</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>4. Metrics at a Glance</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>5. Impact Metrics</b>	<b>12</b>
5.1 Energy Access	14
5.2 Economic Activity	16
5.3 Income Generation	18
5.4 Kerosene Replacement and CO <sub>2</sub> e Reduction	19
5.5 Light Availability and Quality	20
5.6 Energy Spending	22
5.7 Financial Inclusion	23
<b>6. Coefficient Calculations and Values</b>	<b>24</b>
6.1 Standard Coefficients with Default Values	27
6.2 Coefficient Values to be Inputted by Organizations	41
<b>7. Standardised Guidelines</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>8. Contributors</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>9. Bibliography</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>Annex</b>	<b>48</b>
Annex 1: SEforALL Factor	48
Annex 2: Avoided Emissions from Replacement of Kerosene Lamps	49
Annex 3: Geographical Regions Classification	51

## Why Impact Metrics Matter

**A consistent approach to impact calculations allows companies, investors, policymakers, multi-lateral institutions, non-government organizations and other sector stakeholders to estimate the impacts created by off-grid solar in a consistent, clear, and coherent manner. For this reason, the GOGLA Impact Working Group was established in 2013, with the goal of creating a standardised framework for impact measurement that can be used by the off-grid industry. These metrics were designed to enhance knowledge and help stakeholders to streamline reporting in order to attract investment and regulatory support. The first standardized impact metrics were launched in 2015.**

This document presents version 4.0 of those metrics, which have been updated and expanded using the best available data from across the off-grid solar industry and research community. The updated metrics enable users to estimate the impact of different categories of off-grid technology (from solar lanterns to large solar home systems) while accounting for regional differences, where necessary.

Individual organizations can use these metrics to estimate the impact of their products, services or market supporting activities. GOGLA also uses the metrics to calculate the impact of those GOGLA Members, IFC Lighting Global Associates and companies engaging with the Low Energy Inclusive Appliances (LEIA) Programme, that are participating twice yearly in the Off-Grid Solar Global Sales and Impact Reports. This generates aggregated, global impact figures to be shared with key decision-makers.

Version 4.0 of the Standardised Impact Metrics for the Off-Grid Solar Sector has been

aligned with the IRIS Metrics. IRIS is an initiative of the Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN), a non-profit organization dedicated to increasing the scale and effectiveness of impact investing.

Please see: [www.iris.thegiin.org/metrics](http://www.iris.thegiin.org/metrics)



# Background

**In 2013, the GOGLA Impact Working Group was established to construct calculations for modelling a set of priority impact metrics. The Impact Working Group brought together off-grid practitioners, researchers and data experts to co-create these metrics. This resulted in version 1.0 of the Standardised Impact Metrics, launched in June 2015 and piloted by the GOGLA membership. Following the pilot, the Impact Working Group published version 2.0 of the metrics in January 2016.**

In the previous iteration (version 3.0, released in 2018), the Impact Working Group, along with support from the GOGLA Secretariat and Schatz Energy Research Center further refined the standardised impact metrics, enhancing them so they better accounted for variations in impact created by different sizes of off-grid systems and expanding them to account for economic activity.

This latest development in the framework accounts for regional variation where research shows that large differences are present. For example, new data finds that, on average, more kerosene is replaced by off-grid solar in East Africa than in other regions. These regional insights allow for greater accuracy of impact estimates.

Version 4.0 also sets out 'Reporting Guidelines' for two further impact areas:

- the location of customers and
- the number of jobs enabled due to the sale of off-grid solar products

The guidelines have been included to encourage companies, investors and sector stakeholders to adopt a common approach to reporting on topics for which it's not yet feasible to create metrics.

These Reporting Guidelines have also been created in conjunction with off-grid solar experts and practitioners in the Impact Working Group and can be found on page 44.

#### **This Paper was developed to:**

- update and replace version 3.0
- provide specific regional variables relevant for the impact of solar lanterns, multi-light kits, small solar home systems and large solar home systems
- enable and encourage more off-grid organizations and stakeholder to use these standardised metrics to calculate estimated impact

#### **Impact Metrics: An iterative approach**

As the ongoing review and expansion of the impact metrics indicates, GOGLA's approach to measuring the impact of off-grid solar products will continue to be iterative to take into account new data and evidence. GOGLA aims to review and revise these metrics every 18–24 months to ensure that they are in line with the latest research. Please note that a conservative approach has been taken to all metrics. In instances where metric variables have been created using smaller sample sizes, an even more conservative approach has been applied.

In addition, while these metrics lay the foundations for calculating **estimated** impact, many critical social development benefits from off-grid solar remain difficult to track. Therefore, these metrics should be seen a starting point, not an end, to the exploration of socioeconomic impacts by the off-grid sector and new metrics may be added as new data becomes available. GOGLA welcomes input from its Members and other stakeholders in the sector on future enhancements to these metrics.

#### **What has changed from version 3.0**

##### **Several variables are now split by region**

The availability of regional data sets from research carried out in the last two years allowed for regional splits for six variables. These were: economic activity, enterprise, time spent working, income generation (x2) and replacement of kerosene lamps. This enables greater accuracy of impact measurement. Please note that in some instances, such as 'Average additional income generated' in South Asia, variables cannot be split both regionally and by system size due to data availability – a conservative approach has therefore been taken and a standard variable used. It should be noted that regional variables are based on aggregated and averaged results across urban / rural location.

##### **A 'People Per Household Ratio (PR)' variable has been introduced**

Several thousand interviews in East and West Africa and South Asia show that, in many cases,



## Background

more than one person per household spends additional time working since purchasing an off-grid solar system. To more accurately calculate the number of people who spend more time working and account for this phenomenon, the formula for this metric now includes the PR variable. As the 'number of people who spend more time working' is a part of the 'number of people undertaking economic activity' metric, this has also been updated to include the new PR variable. Please see more details on the PR ratio on page 30.

### **Certain variables have been updated**

New data has led to changes to a number of variables. Among these are the percentage of households in which someone spends more time working, and the replacement ratio of kerosene lanterns per solar product.

For the greatest accuracy, the Global Impact Estimates shared bi-annually by GOGLA will be calculated using the version 4.0 of the metrics as of July 2019, with version 3.0 applied for sales before this date. Therefore, impact estimates are calculated using the impact metrics available at that point in time. This approach aims to best represent the situation at the time that products were sold\*, allow for change given new and better data and to provide a continuous approach to impact estimation. Using the relevant version of these metrics for each time period allows for this nuance to be included when estimating impact. GOGLA recommends that this approach is also followed by others using the metrics. However, where using two versions of the metrics for different time periods will prove too complex, using only the latest version 4.0 is advised.

\* For example, in recent years, households in some countries have significantly reduced their use of kerosene for lighting. At the same time, the capacity of off-grid solar products has increased, allowing families to use them for a greater number of hours. This has led to differences in the variables for baseline and post-purchase hours of light used between version 3 and version 4 of the metrics.



© d.light

# How to use the Metrics

The off-grid solar industry and stakeholders can:

## 1. Adopt the GOGLA metrics framework

Organizations including manufacturers, distributors, investors, multilateral programs, and industry groups are strongly encouraged to adopt this set of core metrics as a key input to estimating and reporting impact. When organizations report impact on one or more of the dimensions included in this framework, the GOGLA methodology should be applied.

## 2. Go beyond the framework

There are a range of development outcomes that may be specific to a region, product, or company. Users are encouraged to go beyond the framework to collect targeted data and / or to validate these impact estimates. Research by individual organizations also helps to increase the knowledge base and provide contextually specific data-points. The GOGLA framework is meant as a foundation, not a ceiling, on social impact reporting.

## 3. Broadcast results

Organizations and coalitions that adopt the GOGLA framework are encouraged to speak with a unified language about the estimated impact being created by the off-grid solar industry.

## 4. Use our new online impact calculator tool

The online impact calculator tool is designed to help you estimate your company or investment's impact. The calculator has been built using the metrics formulas. Simply add relevant sales and product information to instantly generate impact estimates.

Go to the Impact Calculator:  
[www.gogla.org/impact/calculator](http://www.gogla.org/impact/calculator)

## Limitations

When using the metrics, please be aware that:

**Specific metrics or variables apply to specific technology types, sizes or geographies.** For example, certain variables might be specific to Pay-As-You-Go (PAYGo) companies only, while different variables may apply to solar lanterns versus large solar home systems. In this latest version of the impact metrics, a regional split

is also available for a select number of metrics. Please ensure you review the metrics carefully and only use those metrics or variables that relate to the relevant product(s), service(s) and / or geographies.

## **The metrics apply to solar products sold in off-grid, weak grid or underserved communities only.**

Therefore, only off-grid solar products sold in the developing world should be included when using the metrics to measure impact.

**These metrics apply to high-quality solar products.** The metrics have been created using data and evidence from high-quality solar products. As such, these metrics should only be used to calculate the impact of GOGLA Members or organizations selling Lighting Global / IEC quality-verified solar products, or products that deliver comparable performance.

**Results calculated using these metrics should be described as estimates.** It is important to note that, while these metrics have been created using the best available data, when describing results created by using the metrics these should always be referred to as estimates as the data represents research done with specific companies or organizations and most is self-reported. As such, it may not be representative of all GOGLA Member or company impact. Please see details on individual variables for any specific limitations, and details on each metric for more information on how these should be used / described.

**Metrics should not be used when it is clear specific products and services do not have the estimated impact.** While applicable in most cases, there may be instances where a specific product type, location or use-case may not lead to a commonly observed impact. For example, in a region with a high density of torch use, little kerosene reduction will be seen, while systems sold specifically to light an educational facility are less likely to lead to new business creation. A common-sense approach should be taken to use and application of the impact metrics.

## Metrics at a Glance

The overview of formulas and variables in the tables on the following pages summarize the harmonized framework detailed in the rest of this document. **Red** coefficients are to be inputted by users of the metrics (e.g. GOGLA Member companies) whilst **Blue** coefficients have default values that have been supplied by GOGLA where companies do not have their own data – outlined in detail later in this document.

The primary basis used for counting and scaling estimates of social impact is the number of products sold or deployed to end-users (product specifications are also used for certain metrics). In some cases, it makes sense to count all products ever sold [S], while in others the estimated number of currently operating systems [S<sub>l</sub>] (i.e., within the lifetime of the product) is a more appropriate basis.

For sales and deployment estimates for cash sales business models, sales numbers should be discounted by a channel loss discount factor [D<sub>l</sub>] that is the fraction of products that are damaged or lost and never reach end users. This discount factor has been added as typically the sales data available for cash sales business models are at the wholesale level. However, if retail sales totals are accounted for, these could be used directly, without the sales channel loss factors.

For PAYGo sales where retail account totals are available, the number of total retail sales should be discounted by a channel loss discount factor [D<sub>r</sub>] that estimates the fraction of customers for whom the impact of a product is not fully realized. This could be due to a variety of potential reasons e.g. product loss or breakdown, churn, repossession or default. As PAYGo discount factors will vary widely between different companies, programs and regions, organizations are asked to input their own, appropriate and conservative PAYGo discount factor based on their specific experience. (Please note that GOGLA applies a conservative PAYGo discount factor to all publicly shared industry-level data, as well as impact estimates shared directly with GOGLA Member companies.)

The formulas within the tables on the following pages have been split by cash sales and PAYGo to reflect these different discount factors.

As with the PAYGo discount factor, if more specific company or organizational-level impact data has been gathered through robust research, other relevant variables can be updated with this data to best represent organizational impact. However, we strongly recommend that the harmonized metric formulas are used in all cases to enable consistency of reporting. Any organization using their own impact data to replace a variable is advised to take a conservative approach and to transparently communicate if they deviate from the GOGLA default variables.

**Please note that variables used in the Impact metrics are primarily based on research that uses self-reported customer data.**



# Metrics at a Glance

## Impact Metrics – Overview of Formulas

Metric	Business Model	Formula	
<b>Energy Access</b>			
1ai	Number of people with improved energy access, cumulatively	Cash	$S * (1 - D_i) * (1 - D_r) * H$
		PAYGo	$S * (1 - D_p) * (1 - D_r) * H$
1aii	Number of people with improved energy access, currently	Cash	$S_L * (1 - D_i) * (1 - D_r) * H$
		PAYGo	$S_L * (1 - D_p) * (1 - D_r) * H$
1bi	Number of people with access to Tier 1 energy services	Cash	$S_L * (1 - D_i) * (1 - D_r) * H * D_{T1}$
		PAYGo	$S_L * (1 - D_p) * (1 - D_r) * H * D_{T1}$
1bii	Number of people with access to Tier 2 energy services	Cash	$S_L * (1 - D_i) * (1 - D_r) * H * D_{T2}$
		PAYGo	$S_L * (1 - D_p) * (1 - D_r) * H * D_{T2}$
<b>Economic Activity</b>			
2a	People undertaking more economic activity	Cash	$S * (1 - D_i) * EA * PR$
		PAYGo	$S_L * (1 - D_p) * EA * PR$
2b	People using products to support enterprise	Cash	$S_L * (1 - D_i) * E$
		PAYGo	$S_L * (1 - D_p) * E$
2c	People that spend more time working	Cash	$S_L * (1 - D_i) * T * PR$
		PAYGo	$S_L * (1 - D_p) * T * PR$
<b>Income Generation</b>			
3a	Households generating additional income	Cash	$S_L * (1 - D_i) * IG$
		PAYGo	$S_L * (1 - D_p) * IG$
3b	Additional income generated, cumulatively	Cash	$S * (1 - D_i) * (IG * AI * P_i)$
		PAYGo	$S * (1 - D_p) * (IG * AI * P_i)$
<b>Kerosene Replacement &amp; CO<sub>2</sub>e Reduction</b>			
4	Kerosene lanterns replaced	Cash	$S_L * (1 - D_i) * R$
		PAYGo	$S_L * (1 - D_p) * R$
5	CO <sub>2</sub> e emissions avoided	Cash	$S * (1 - D_i) * R * G * P_L$
		PAYGo	$S * (1 - D_p) * R * G * P_L$
<b>Light Availability and Quality</b>			
6ai	Additional light hours used, by household	Cash	$(L_f - L_b) * L_D * P_L$
		PAYGo	As Cash
6aii	Additional light hours used, cumulatively	Cash	$S * (1 - D_i) * ((L_f - L_b) * LD * P_L)$
		PAYGo	$S * (1 - D_p) * ((L_f - L_b) * LD * P_L)$
6b	Change in quality of light, by household	Cash	$B_f - B_b$
		PAYGo	As Cash
<b>Energy Spending</b>			
7ai	Savings on energy expenditure for pico-solar, by household	Cash	$((E_b - E_f) * P_i) - C$
		PAYGo	$((E_b - E_f) * P_i) - TCO$
7aii	Savings on energy expenditure for pico-solar, cumulatively	Cash	$S * (1 - D_i) * (((E_f - E_b) * P_i) - C)$
		PAYGo	$S * (1 - D_p) * (((E_f - E_b) * P_i) - TCO)$
<b>Financial Inclusion</b>			
8	Number of people currently benefitting from clean energy financing (PAYGo only)	PAYGo	$S_L * (1 - D_p)$

Details on definitions, assumptions and limitations for individual metrics can be found from page 14 onwards

# Metrics at a Glance

## Impact Metrics – Overview of Variables

Variable (input by users)	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
<b>S</b>	number of units sold (cumulative i.e. ever)			
<b>S<sub>L</sub></b>	number of units sold within estimated lifespan of product (1.5 x warranty period)			
<b>P<sub>L</sub></b>	estimated solar product lifespan (1.5 x warranty)			
<b>B<sub>F</sub></b>	average post-purchase lumens (brightness) of household lighting			
<b>C</b>	average retail price of solar product (cost to customer), in US\$ (Cash only)			
<b>D<sub>F</sub></b>	discount for loss factor: products not used for full lifetime (PAYGo only)			
<b>TCO</b>	average total cost of ownership of solar product (cost to customer), in US\$ (PAYGo only)			

Variable (default values)		0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp	
<b>D<sub>L</sub></b>	discount for loss: products not working or not in use, excluding loss in supply chain (Cash only)			3%		
<b>D<sub>R</sub></b>	discount for repeat sales: to avoid double counting of customers, but does not try to estimate proportion of customers who owned solar more generally before	10%	3%	3%	3%	
<b>H</b>	Household size			5.5		
<b>D<sub>T1</sub></b>	Tier 1 Factor			Annex 1		
<b>D<sub>T2</sub></b>	Tier 2 Factor			Annex 1		
<b>EA</b>	percentage of customers undertaking more economic activity	East Africa	14%	29%	23%	23%
		West Africa	14%	18%	17%	11%
		South Asia	14%	10%	8%	11%
		Global Default	14%	10%	8%	11%
<b>E</b>	percentage of customers using products to support enterprise (including those that have opened a new business)	East Africa	10%	16%	14%	10%
		West Africa	10%	7%	12%	9%
		South Asia	10%	5%	10%	12%
		Global Default	10%	5%	10%	9%
<b>T</b>	Percentage of customers that spend more time working	East Africa	5%	16%	13%	11%
		West Africa	5%	7%	7%	5%
		South Asia	5%	6%	6%	8%
		Global Default	5%	6%	6%	5%
<b>PR</b>	Ratio for the number of people per household	East Africa	1	1.8	1.8	1.8
		West Africa	1	2.5	2.5	2.5
		South Asia	1	1.3	1.3	1.3
		Global Default	1	1.3	1.3	1.3

## Metrics at a Glance


Variable (default values)			0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10. 999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
<b>IG</b>	percentage of households creating additional income	East Africa	10%	23%	19%	19%
		West Africa	10%	12%	12%	9%
		South Asia	10%	9%	7%	10%
		Global Default	10%	9%	7%	9%
<b>AI</b>	average additional income generated, per household (annual)	East Africa	\$170	\$306	\$429	\$475
		West Africa	\$170	\$263	\$392	\$149
		South Asia	\$170	\$548	\$548	\$548
		Global Default	\$170	\$263	\$392	\$149
<b>R</b>	replacement ratio of kerosene lanterns per solar product	East Africa	1	1.2	1.3	1.1
		West Africa	1	0.2	0.2	0.4
		South Asia	1	0.9	0.2	0.3
		Global Default	1	0.4	0.4	0.4
<b>G</b>	average annual carbon dioxide and black carbon (CO <sub>2</sub> e) emissions per kerosene lantern, in metric tons			0.431		
<b>L<sub>b</sub></b>	average baseline hours of light used, per day / night (24 hours) per household		5.8	3.9	4.1	3.8
<b>L<sub>f</sub></b>	average post-purchase hours of light used, per day / night (24 hours), per household		8.1	5.3	5.4	5.7
<b>L<sub>d</sub></b>	Average number of days per year that off-grid solar product is used for lighting			350		
<b>B<sub>b</sub></b>	average baseline lumens (brightness) of household lighting use		35	45	45	45
<b>E<sub>b</sub></b>	average annual expenditure on energy baseline (lighting and phone charging), per household		\$95	\$127	[no data]	[no data]
<b>E<sub>f</sub></b>	average annual expenditure on energy post-purchase (lighting and phone charging), per household		\$22	\$38	[no data]	[no data]

Details on definitions, assumptions and limitations for individual variables can be found from page 27 onwards

“

**Impact Metrics**

”





# Impact Metrics

For each metric, the following pages outline the:

- Definition
- Message to share
- Calculation
- Assumptions

Inputting sales units, and other information relating to the off-grid solar product, into each metric formula will estimate impact. The assumptions and calculations for coefficients that constitute the metric formulas are outlined in the section below.

## 5.1 Energy Access

Metric	1ai. Improved energy access, cumulatively
Unit of measurement	Number of people
Definition	Cumulative number of people who have ever lived in a house with an improved off-grid energy source (i.e. solar)
Usefulness of metric	Enables us to estimate the number of people that have benefited from using off-grid solar products
Message to share	The off-grid solar industry has helped to improve energy access for an estimated X number of people
Calculation	<p>Cash Sales: <math>(S) * (1 - D_L) * (1 - D_R) * H</math>            PAYGo Sales: <math>(S) * (1 - D_F) * (1 - D_R) * H</math></p> <p>Number of products sold (S) x discount for loss (<math>D_L</math> or <math>D_F</math>) x discount for repeat sales (<math>D_R</math>) x household size (H)</p>
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Solar products are used in the home</li> <li>• All members in the household have access to the solar product</li> <li>• Solar products are being used in households with a 'worse' source of energy before (except for discounted repeat sales)</li> </ul>
Other notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In this context, 'improved' is used to reflect lighting and energy provided by appropriate (less expensive, less dangerous, better quality) technologies such as solar, instead of baseline technologies such as kerosene lanterns, battery lights, candles, or even poorer-quality solar products etc.</li> </ul>
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Discounts for assumptions i.e. products used outside the home and intrahousehold usage across all members</li> </ul>

# Impact Metrics

Metric	1a. Improved energy access, currently
Unit of measurement	Number of people
Definition	Number of people who currently live in a house with an improved off-grid energy source (i.e. solar)
Usefulness of metric	Enables us to estimate the number of people using off-grid solar products
Message to share	The off-grid solar industry is helping to improve energy access for an estimated X number of people
Calculation	<p>Cash Sales: <math>(S_L) * (1 - D_L) * (1 - D_R) * H</math>            PAYGo Sales: <math>(S_L) * (1 - D_P) * (1 - D_R) * H</math></p> <p>Number of products sold that are still in lifetime (<math>S_L</math>) x discount for loss (<math>D_L</math> or <math>D_P</math>) x discount for repeat sales (<math>D_R</math>) x household size (H)</p> <p>Number of products still in lifetime = sold within last: 1.5 x warranty years</p>
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As 1ai</li> </ul>
Other notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As 1ai</li> </ul>
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As 1ai</li> </ul>

Metric	1bi. People with access to Tier 1 energy services
Unit of measurement	Number of people
Definition	Number of people with Tier 1 energy access currently, based on the SEforAll Global Tracking Framework
Usefulness of metric	Enables understanding of the level of energy service enabled due to off-grid solar and allows for comparisons between energy service enabled by off-grid solar and all other energy sources (e.g. mini-grids, unreliable / reliable grid access etc.)
Message to share	The off-grid solar industry is helping to meet the basic energy needs for an estimated X number of people
Calculation	<p>Cash Sales: <math>(S_L) * (1 - D_L) * (1 - D_R) * H * D_{T1}</math>            PAYGo Sales: <math>(S_L) * (1 - D_P) * (1 - D_R) * H * D_{T1}</math></p> <p>Number of products sold that are still in lifetime (<math>S_L</math>) x discount for loss (<math>D_L</math> or <math>D_P</math>) x discount for repeat sales (<math>D_R</math>) x household size (H) x reduction factor from Tier 1 SEforAll framework (<math>D_{T1}</math>), where the reduction factor is based on typical energy service level available</p> <p>See Annex 1 for more details of <math>D_{T1}</math></p>
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As per SEforAll Global Tracking framework</li> </ul>
Other notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>An illustrative example of the framework mapped to off-grid system size / service level can be found in Annex 1. This can be used to establish the number of people, per household, who have had their basic energy needs met by various off-grid products and services</li> </ul>
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continued engagement in the maintenance and utilization of the SEforAll Global Tracking Framework</li> </ul>

# Impact Metrics

Metric	1bii. People with access to Tier 2 energy services
Unit of measurement	Number of people
Definition	Number of people with Tier 2 energy access currently, based on the SEforAll Global Tracking Framework
Usefulness of metric	Enables understanding of the level of energy service enabled due to off-grid solar and allows for comparisons between energy service enabled by off-grid solar and all other energy sources (e.g. off-grid solar, mini-grids, unreliable / reliable grid access etc.)
Message to share	The off-grid solar industry is helping to meet the basic energy needs for an estimated X number of people
Calculation	<p>Cash Sales: <math>(S_L) * (1 - D_L) * (1 - D_R) * H * D_{T2}</math>            PAYGo Sales: <math>(S_L) * (1 - D_f) * (1 - D_R) * H * D_{T2}</math></p> <p>Number of products sold that are still in lifetime (<math>S_L</math>) x discount for loss (<math>D_L</math> or <math>D_f</math>) x discount for repeat sales (<math>D_R</math>) x household size (H) x reduction factor from Tier 1 SEforAll framework (<math>D_{T2}</math>), where the reduction factor is based on typical energy service level available See Annex 1 for more details of <math>D_{T2}</math></p>
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As per SEforAll Global Tracking framework</li> </ul>
Other notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As 1bi</li> </ul>
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As 1bi</li> </ul>

## 5.2 Economic Activity

Metric	2a. People undertaking more economic activity
Unit of measurement	Number of people
Definition	Number of off-grid solar customers who are undertaking more economic activity as a result of using off-grid solar
Usefulness of metric	Enables us to estimate the number of people undertaking more economic activity as a result of using off-grid solar
Message to share	Off-grid solar products and services are estimated to be enabling X number of people to undertake more economic activity
Calculation	<p>Cash Sales: <math>(S_L) * (1 - D_L) * EA * PR</math>            PAYGo Sales: <math>(S_L) * (1 - D_f) * EA * PR</math></p> <p>Number of products sold that are still in lifetime (<math>S_L</math>) x discount for loss (<math>D_L</math> or <math>D_f</math>) x proportion of people undertaking more economic activity (EA) x the ratio of people per household (PR)</p>
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No change over time</li> </ul>
Other notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Economic activity is broadly defined in this metric. This includes customers who pursue more income generating activities or support their business with off-grid solar, as well those who use time more productively e.g. undertake household-level agricultural activities</li> <li>Based on the geographic location of the sale and the product category, the correct variables for EA and PR should be applied</li> </ul>
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gather additional evidence supporting regional differences and change over time for lanterns</li> </ul>

# Impact Metrics

Metric	2b. People using products to support enterprise
Unit of measurement	Number of people
Definition	Number of off-grid solar customers using their system to support an enterprise, or income generating activities e.g. charging phones for a fee or opening a stall at night
Usefulness of metric	Enables us to estimate the number of people directly using their off-grid solar product to support enterprise (e.g. the lights, phone charging capacity, TV, power to run a fan or fridge etc.)
Message to share	Off-grid solar products are used by an estimated X people to support enterprise
Calculation	Cash Sales: $(S_c) * (1 - D_L) * E$ PAYGo Sales: $(S_p) * (1 - D_r) * E$  Number of products sold that are still in lifetime ( $S_c$ ) x discount for loss ( $D_L$ or $D_r$ ) x proportion of people using products to support enterprise or income generating activities in the home (E)
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No change over time</li> </ul>
Other notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This metric is focused on the enterprise being supported due to the ownership of off-grid solar only. The strong majority of businesses supported are individual or micro-enterprises such as phone charging businesses or small stalls, shops or restaurants. Please note it excludes all enterprise created by the industry rather than the products e.g. it does not include solar agents selling off-grid solar products</li> <li>Based on the geographic location of the sale and the product category, the correct variable for E should be applied</li> </ul>
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gather additional evidence supporting regional differences and change over time for lanterns</li> </ul>

Metric	2c. People that spend more time working
Unit of measurement	Number of people
Definition	Number of off-grid solar customers spending more time working as a result of using off-grid solar e.g. as a household member can shift tasks to the evening time due to increased light hours available or as they spend less time travelling to buy fuel
Usefulness of metric	Enables us to show the impact of off-grid solar ownership on the amount of time that can be spent working
Message to share	Off-grid solar products and services are unlocking previously unproductive time and enabling people to work for longer
Calculation	Cash Sales: $(S_c) * (1 - D_L) * T * PR$ PAYGo Sales: $(S_p) * (1 - D_r) * T * PR$  Number of products sold that are still in lifetime ( $S_c$ ) x discount for loss ( $D_L$ or $D_r$ ) x proportion of customer base able to spend more time working outside the home (T) x the ratio of people benefitting per household (PR)
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No change over time</li> </ul>
Other notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As 2a, work undertaken with additional time is broadly defined. This includes productive activities such as household-level agriculture, as well as direct income generating activities e.g. selling produce at local markets</li> <li>Based on the geographic location of the sale and the product category, the correct variables for T and PR should be applied</li> </ul>
Future improvements	Explore change over time

# Impact Metrics

## 5.3 Income Generation

Metric	3a. Households generating additional income
Unit of measurement	Number of households
Definition	Number of households that are generating additional income as a result of off-grid system ownership e.g. as members open a business for longer, or use their system to generate income
Usefulness of metric	Enables us to estimate how many households have been able to create additional income as a result of using off-grid solar
Message to share	Off-grid solar products and services have enabled an estimated X number of households to generate additional income
Calculation	Cash Sales: $(S_c) * (1 - D_l) * IG$ PAYGo Sales: $(S_p) * (1 - D_r) * IG$  Number of products sold that are still in lifetime $(S_c)$ x discount for loss $(D_l \text{ or } D_r)$ x proportion of households generating additional income (IG)
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No change over time</li> </ul>
Other notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Based on the geographic location of the sale and the product category, the correct variable for IG should be applied</li> </ul>
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gather additional evidence supporting regional differences and change over time for lanterns</li> </ul>

Metric	3b. Additional income generated, cumulatively
Unit of measurement	USD\$
Definition	Cumulative amount of additional income generated as a result of off-grid system ownership; over the expected lifetime of the solar products
Usefulness of metric	Enables us to estimate how much additional income has been created by households using off-grid solar
Message to share	The off-grid solar industry has helped households to generate an estimated \$X in additional income over the lifetime of their solar products
Calculation	Cash Sales: $(S) * (1 - D_l) * (IG * AI * P_c)$ PAYGo Sales: $(S) * (1 - D_r) * (IG * AI * P_c)$  Number of products sold (S) x discount for loss $(D_l \text{ or } D_r)$ x proportion of customer base generating additional income (IG) * average additional income generated, per household, annually (AI) x estimated solar product lifespan (1.5 x warranty) $(P_c)$
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Households continue to generate additional income at a constant rate throughout the lifetime of their solar product</li> </ul>
Other notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This metric does not take into account any change in the value of the dollar vs local currency</li> <li>Based on the geographic location of the sale and the product category, the correct variables for IG and AI should be applied</li> </ul>
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Gather additional evidence supporting regional differences and change over time for lanterns</li> </ul>



# Impact Metrics

## 5.4 Kerosene Replacement and CO<sub>2</sub>e Reduction

Metric	4. Kerosene lanterns replaced
Unit of measurement	Number of kerosene lanterns
Definition	Number of kerosene lanterns no longer in use because customers have replaced them with solar lighting
Usefulness of metric	Enables us to estimate the impact of reducing the use of dangerous and polluting kerosene lanterns
Message to share	The solar lighting industry is contributing to the reduction of an estimated X expensive, dangerous, polluting kerosene lanterns
Calculation	Cash Sales: $(S_L) * (1 - D_L) * R$ PAYGo Sales: $(S_L) * (1 - D_P) * R$  Number of products sold that are still in lifetime ( $S_L$ ) x discount for loss ( $D_L$ or $D_P$ ) x replacement ratio of kerosene lanterns (R)
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Kerosene lanterns are no longer used because of access to new solar products</li> </ul>
Other notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Please note that, in each region, the kerosene replacement rate is averaged from data that includes homes with no kerosene lamps as well as households with more than one. This means that households using kerosene lamps will have a higher replacement ratio than the average, while households with no kerosene lamps will see zero change</li> <li>Based on the geographic location of the sale and the product category, the correct variable for R should be applied</li> </ul>
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Explore replacement of polluting diesel generators and / or dangerous candles with off-grid solar</li> </ul>

Metric	5. CO <sub>2</sub> e emissions avoided
Unit of measurement	Metric tons of carbon dioxide and black carbon (in carbon dioxide equivalent, CO <sub>2</sub> e)
Definition	Metric tons of carbon dioxide and black carbon averted due to estimated reduction in kerosene lantern use, per off-grid solar product; over expected lifetime of the product
Usefulness of metric	Enables us to highlight the estimated short-term (20 year) and long-term (100 year) environmental benefits of solar by capturing the immediate effects of reductions in black carbon and the longer-term effects of other greenhouse gases including carbon dioxide compared to baseline kerosene use
Message to share	The off-grid solar industry has helped to avert an estimated X Metric tons of CO <sub>2</sub> e (not including embodied energy)
Calculation	Cash Sales: $(S) * (1 - D_L) * R * G * P_L$ PAYGo Sales: $(S) * (1 - D_P) * R * G * P_L$  Number of products sold (S) x discount for loss ( $D_L$ or $D_P$ ) x replacement ratio (R) x annual CO <sub>2</sub> e emissions per kerosene lantern (G) x estimated lifespan of solar product ( $P_L$ )
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Replacement of kerosene use is as a direct result of access to a new solar product</li> </ul>
Other notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not include embodied energy from manufacturing and transporting products</li> <li>This metric was previously based on average daily usage of kerosene lanterns of 3 hours, but has been updated to 3.5 hours based on newly available data</li> </ul>
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Determine the best way to measure and deduct embodied energy</li> </ul>

# Impact Metrics

## 5.5 Light Availability and Quality

Metric	6ai. Additional light hours used, by household
Unit of measurement	Number of hours
Definition	Average additional hours of light usage, per household; over the expected lifetime of their solar product. Change in light hours results from ownership of off-grid solar products / lighting when compared to the typical usage of baseline lighting
Usefulness of metric	Enables us to estimate the change in light usage per day
Message to share	Off-grid solar increases a household's hours of light by an estimated X hours over the average product lifetime
Calculation	Cash & PAYGo Sales: $(L_f - L_b) * L_D * P_L$  Post-purchase hours of light used, per day / night per household ( $L_f$ ) – baseline hours of light used, per day / night per household ( $L_b$ ) x number of days per year that off-grid solar is used for lighting ( $L_D$ ) x product lifetime ( $P_L$ )
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Light usage in a home is relatively constant</li> <li>• While there will be differences between the capacities of different solar lighting products to provide hours of light, this metric assumes an average change in light usage (calculated using data from various solar lantern and solar home system types and baseline lighting sources) to indicate the actual, rather than potential, additional light usage</li> </ul>
Other notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As well as replacement of traditional energy sources, this metric captures the change in light hours created by product stacking e.g. where a solar light complements existing lighting sources in a household</li> <li>• This metric was previously based on averaged SHS data, using '4' as pre-purchase and '5' as post-purchase hours of light used for every system size. This has been updated to include latest data specific for the different system sizes to more nuanced variables of <math>L_b</math> and <math>L_f</math></li> </ul>
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explore data on different 'types' of off-grid solar users to understand how different light uses impacts change e.g. differences in the light hours used between households using light for leisure vs income generation, security, etc.</li> </ul>

Metric	6aii. Additional light hours used, cumulatively
Unit of measurement	Number of hours
Definition	Estimated cumulative number of additional light hours used by all households; over the expected lifetime of their solar products. Change in light hours results from ownership of off-grid solar products / lighting, when compared to the typical usage of baseline lighting
Usefulness of metric	Enables us to show the increase in hours of light usage enabled due to households purchasing an off-grid solar lighting product
Message to share	The solar lighting industry has unlocked an estimated X hours of light for off-grid households
Calculation	Cash Sales: $(S) * (1 - D_L) * ((L_f - L_b) * L_D * P_L)$ PAYGo Sales: $(S) * (1 - D_F) * ((L_f - L_b) * L_D * P_L)$  Number of products sold x discount for loss ( $D_L$ or $D_F$ ) x ((post-purchase hours of light used, per night per household ( $L_f$ ) – baseline hours of light used, per night per household ( $L_b$ )) x number of days per year that off-grid solar is used for lighting ( $L_D$ ) x product lifetime ( $P_L$ ))
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As 6ai</li> </ul>
Other notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As 6ai</li> </ul>
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• As 6ai</li> </ul>

# Impact Metrics

Metric	6b. Change in quality of light, by household
Unit of measurement	Number of lumens per household
Definition	Estimated change in lumens of light used, per household per day (on average)
Usefulness of metric	Enables us to show the potential quality improvement (i.e. newly available opportunity of light brightness) of solar compared to the previous household lighting mix
Message to share	The solar lighting industry is enabling customers to experience brighter lighting; an estimated X lumens more than previously, per household, on average
Calculation	Cash & PAYGo Sales: $B_f - B_b$  Post-purchase lumens of household lighting use ( $B_f$ ) – baseline lumens of household lighting use ( $B_b$ )
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Light quality households receive from their product is, on average, on a par with use of the mid-range setting of their product (see below)</li> </ul>
Other notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>When adding the post-purchase lumen output of a product the mid-range or average lumen output (of lowest and highest settings) should be used to provide a reasonable estimate of the actual lumen output received by a household</li> <li>To avoid over or underestimating the change in brightness received by a household, unless actual setting usage data is known, using the highest or lowest product setting is not advised</li> </ul>
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Explore common usage settings to provide more certainty on the recommendation to use mid-range setting or average lumen output to uncover the average change in brightness</li> </ul>



# Impact Metrics

## 5.6 Energy Spending

Metric	7ai. Savings on energy expenditure for pico-solar, by household
Unit of measurement	US\$ over lifetime of pico-solar product
Definition	Estimated amount of US\$ savings on energy-related expenditure*; over expected lifetime of pico-solar product, per household
Usefulness of metric	Enables us to demonstrate the estimated financial benefit of pico-solar at the household level
Message to share	Pico-solar products are helping households save an estimated \$X, over their lifetime, by replacing the use of alternatives for lighting and phone charging (e.g. kerosene and batteries)
Calculation	<p>Cash Sales: <math>((E_b - E_f) * P_l) - C</math>            PAYGo Sales: <math>((E_b - E_f) * P_l) - TCO</math></p> <p><math>((\text{Annual baseline energy expenditure } (E_b) - \text{annual energy expenditure post purchase on traditional lighting sources } (E_f)) \times \text{product lifetime}) - \text{Cost of solar product } (C) \text{ or total cost of ownership of PAYGo product } (TCO)</math></p>
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Uniform spending on non-solar energy across product lifetime</li> <li>• Repayment of PAYGo products is standard across the repayment period (e.g. costs are not increased / decreased due to early or late payment etc.)</li> </ul>
Other notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please note this metric is designed for use with pico-solar products (&lt;11Wp) only, where the pre-post energy spending and service is most comparable</li> <li>• Use of this metric is not advised where off-grid systems provide significantly more service, particularly where the cost of appliances such as TVs, radios and fans are included in the cost of the product. In many such cases expenditure will go up, rather than down, after purchasing an off-grid solar product</li> </ul>
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explore total energy expenditure (including transportation and other costs) both before and after purchase</li> <li>• Explore the change in energy cost by kWh</li> </ul>

Metric	7aii. Savings on energy expenditure for pico-solar, cumulatively
Unit of measurement	US\$ over lifetime of pico-solar products
Definition	Estimated amount of US\$ savings on energy-related expenditure*; over expected lifetime of pico-solar products, in aggregate of all sales ever
Usefulness of metric	Enables us to demonstrate the estimated financial benefit of pico-solar products, cumulatively
Message to share	Off-Grid Solar products have helped off-grid households save an estimated \$X, over their lifetime, by replacing the use of alternatives for lighting and phone charging (e.g. kerosene and batteries)
Calculation	<p>Cash Sales: <math>(S) * (1 - D_l) * (((E_f - E_b) * P_l) - C)</math>            PAYGo Sales: <math>(S) * (1 - D_f) * (((E_f - E_b) * P_l) - TCO)</math></p> <p>Number of products sold (S) x discount for loss (<math>D_l</math> or <math>D_f</math>) x <math>((\text{Annual baseline energy expenditure } (E_b) - \text{annual energy expenditure post purchase on traditional lighting sources } (E_f)) \times \text{product lifetime } (P_l)) - \text{Cost of solar product } (C) \text{ or total cost of ownership of PAYGo product } (TCO)</math></p>
Assumptions	• As 7ai
Other notes	• As 7ai
Future improvements	• As 7ai

\* lighting + phone charging

# Impact Metrics

## 5.7 Financial Inclusion

Metric	8. Number of people currently benefitting from clean energy financing (PAYGo only)
Unit of measurement	Number of people
Definition	Number of people with current access to clean energy financing
Usefulness of metric	Enables us to demonstrate the number of people who have benefitted from clean energy financing through PAYGo solar
Message to share	PAYGo solar is enabling an estimated X people to access clean energy financing. This will allow them to build up a credit history which could help them to access more products and services in the future
Calculation	PAYGo Sales: $(S_p) * (1 - D_p)$  Number of products sold that are still in lifetime $(S_p) \times$ discount for loss $(D_p)$
Assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• That the majority of PAYGo customers are unlikely to have a strong credit history and, as such, PAYGo financing is not only providing more affordable solar but enabling them to become more financially included</li> </ul>
Other notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This metric is simply equal to the number of currently active PAYGo lighting systems and is definitional</li> <li>• The number does not include those who may have purchased a product previously through PAYGo financing and have already benefitted from this level of financial inclusion</li> </ul>
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Further explore the impacts of access to PAYGo financing on financial inclusion e.g. customer upgrades, use of PAYGo to purchase clean cook stoves or the inclusion of health insurance with PAYGo Solar</li> </ul>



© Solar Sisters





# Coefficient Calculations and Values





# Coefficient Calculations and Values

The below tables outline the definitions, assumptions and default values for coefficients that make up the metric formulas.

Countries included in the different geographical regions East Africa, West Africa and South Asia are outlined in Annex 3. For the value of Global Default, a particular conservative approach is taken by adopting lowest values from other regions to use as proxy.

## Regional Split

In recent years, there have been extensive efforts to collect regional data, allowing for thousands of data points that contribute towards these metrics to be split between East Africa, West Africa, South Asia and a Global Default.

This allows the metrics to more accurately reflect the different regional market dynamics, customer profiles and cultural preferences.\*

For example, research shows that economic activity as a consequence of SHS ownership varies across regions, which has led to regional splits being created for the variables Economic Activity (EA), Enterprise (E), Time Spent Working (T), Income Generation (IG), Additional Income Generated (AI) and the creation of the People per Household Ratio (PR). In addition, we see significant regional

variation around previous source of energy used, which has led to a split in the coefficients for Kerosene Replacement Ratio (R).

However, as per the outline Limitations (see page 5), users of the metrics should be mindful that regional metrics still use a common average and may not reflect all national or local contexts. As such, if it is clear that products sold in a specific location do not lead to a commonly observed impact, relevant metrics should not be used, or inputs to variables should be replaced with (company-own) contextually specific datapoints.

**For more details on the regional splits or updates to variables seen in Version 4.0 of the standardised metrics, please contact us via [info@gogla.org](mailto:info@gogla.org)**

\* As well as specific country-level research, underlying motifs for the regional variations are addressed in more detail in the Powering Opportunity research (GOGLA, 2018-2020) and are validated through unpublished insights from the Lean Data work of 60 Decibels.

# Coefficient Calculations and Values

## 6.1 Standard Coefficients with Default Values

Coefficient	$D_L$ : discount for loss (Use for cash sales only)			
Definition	The percentage of solar products sold that do not end up in customer homes, due to theft, damage, loss, non-adoption etc.			
System Size	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
Default value	3%			
Justification	Conservative estimate by companies involved in the supply chain			
Limitations	Not validated by any data			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• GOGLA member companies</li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	1ai. Number of people with improved energy access, cumulatively 1aii. Number of people with improved energy access, currently 1bi. Number of people with access to Tier 1 energy services 1bii. Number of people with access to Tier 2 energy services 2a. People undertaking more economic activity 2b. People using products to support enterprise 2c. People spending more time working outside the home 2d. People that have opened a new business 3a. People generating additional income 3b. Additional income generated, cumulatively 4. Kerosene lanterns replaced 5. CO <sub>2</sub> e avoided 6aii. Additional light hours used, cumulatively 7aii. Savings on energy expenditure, cumulatively			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Collect better data from member companies or identify third party research source</li> </ul>			



# Coefficient Calculations and Values

Coefficient	$D_r$ : discount for repeat sales			
Definition	The percentage of units sold that are repeated sales to a household with solar already, due to replacement or additional purchases while first product is still in use. Intention is to avoid double-counting within number of people affected			
<b>System Size</b>	<b>0.5 – 2.999 Wp</b>	<b>3 – 10.999 Wp</b>	<b>11 – 49.999 Wp</b>	<b>50+ Wp</b>
Default value	10%	3%	3%	3%
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Solar lanterns (0.5 – 2.999 Wp): Estimate by companies involved in the supply chain</li> <li>Larger systems sizes (3 – 50+ Wp): Data drawn from research and represents customers that upgraded their SHS within the same brand</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Does not include movement between solar lanterns and SHS, or unbranded SHS and quality SHS</li> </ul>			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GONGLA member companies</li> <li>GONGLA (2018). <i>Powering Opportunity: The Economic Impact of Off-Grid Solar</i>. (Unpublished data set from research)</li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	1ai. Number of people with improved energy access, cumulatively 1aii. Number of people with improved energy access, currently 1bi. Number of people with access to Tier 1 energy services 1bii. Number of people with access to Tier 2 energy services 2a. People undertaking more economic activity 2b. People using products to support enterprise 2c. People spending more time working outside the home 2d. People that have opened a new business 3a. People generating additional income 3b. Additional income generated, cumulatively 4. Kerosene lanterns replaced 5. CO <sub>2</sub> e avoided 6aii. Additional light hours used, cumulatively 7aii. Savings on energy expenditure, cumulatively 8. Number of people currently benefitting from clean energy financing (PAYGo only)			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continue to review and enhance data on product upgrades</li> <li>Directly collect data from member companies or identify third party research source</li> </ul>			



# Coefficient Calculations and Values

Coefficient	H: household size			
Definition	The number of people living in a household			
System Size	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
Default value	5.5			
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High-quality external sources, as well as primary research focusing on SHS categories</li> <li>In this version of the metrics the household size increased from 5 to 5.5, to reflect the latest available data</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Off-grid household data can show larger household sizes. However, GOGLA advises using a consistent value of 5.5 people per household all categories to maintain a standard and conservative approach to estimating household size</li> </ul>			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>60 Decibels (2020), <i>Why off-grid energy matters</i></li> <li>UN DESA Population Division (2017). <i>Population Facts</i>.</li> <li>UNEP / GEF en.lighten initiative (2013). <i>Off-Grid Country Lighting Assessments</i></li> <li>GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in East Africa: Proving Off-Grid Solar is a Power Tool for Change</i></li> <li>GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in West Africa: Improving Lives, Powering Livelihoods with Off-Grid Solar</i></li> <li>GOGLA (2020). <i>Powering Opportunity in South Asia: From Work to Well-being, the Important Role of Small Scale Solar</i></li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	1ai. Number of people with improved energy access, cumulatively 1a.ii. Number of people with improved energy access, currently 1bi. Number of people with access to Tier 1 energy services 1b.ii. Number of people with access to Tier 2 energy services			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Metric to be reviewed should significantly more off-grid specific household size data become available, in particular, for the pico-lantern category</li> <li>Explore the differences in household size between rural, urban and peri-urban locations</li> </ul>			

Coefficient	D <sub>T1</sub> & D <sub>T2</sub> : Tier 1 & Tier 2 energy service level			
Definition	Based on the SEforALL Global Tracking Framework, an estimate of the number of persons who achieve Tier 1 or Tier 2 access to electricity through standalone solar lighting systems. These are specific to each model of solar product that is offered in the market, with calculation based on verified test results			
System Size	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
Default value	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>See Annex 1 for more details on system size / service Tier values</li> </ul>			
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High-quality external framework</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This coefficient is the result of a global effort towards harmonization on the definition for energy access classifications. These Tier levels are based on specific performance parameters for off-grid solar products that will be reported by companies and / or verified by third-party testing of products</li> </ul>			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As per SEforAll Global Tracking framework</li> <li>An illustrative example of the framework mapped to off-grid solar system size / service level can be found in Annex 1</li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	1bi. Number of people with access to Tier 1 energy services 1b.ii. Number of people with access to Tier 2 energy services			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Updates to made in line with any changes to the SEforALL Framework</li> </ul>			

## Coefficient Calculations and Values



© Greenlight Planet

Coefficient	PR: Ratio for the number of people per household			
Definition	Average number of people per household			
System Size	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
East Africa	1	1.8	1.8	1.8
West Africa	1	2.5	2.5	2.5
South Asia	1	1.3	1.3	1.3
Global Default	1	1.3	1.3	1.3
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In many cases, purchasing an off-grid solar system allows more than one person per household to spend more time working. This variable has been created to create a more accurate picture of the overall number of people that spend more time working once they have an off-grid solar product</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data for this ratio is drawn from research among SHS customers, but is not split by system size. For lanterns, a conservative ratio of 1 is maintained. This is due lack of data that tells us otherwise, and based on the logic that it would be hard for a small lantern to economically benefit from, as it's a single light point</li> </ul>			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in East Africa: Proving Off-Grid Solar is a Power Tool for Change</i></li> <li>GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in West Africa: Improving Lives, Powering Livelihoods with Off-Grid Solar</i></li> <li>GOGLA (2020). <i>Powering Opportunity in South Asia: From Work to Well-being, the Important Role of Small Scale Solar</i></li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	2a. People undertaking more economic activity 2c. People that spend more time working			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Future research to be done to investigate the ratio across households owning different system sizes</li> </ul>			

# Coefficient Calculations and Values

Coefficient	EA: percentage of customers undertaking economic activity			
Definition	The percentage of customers undertaking more economic activity			
System Size	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
East Africa	14%	29%	23%	23%
West Africa	14%	18%	17%	11%
South Asia	14%	10%	8%	11%
Global Default	14%	10%	8%	11%
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High-quality data sources and primary research, including thousands of interviews with off-grid customers</li> <li>• Customers have reported that purchase or ownership of an off-grid system has led to the specific effect noted</li> <li>• Research shows that economic activity as a consequence of SHS ownership varies significantly across regions. The resulting variables are likely heavily influenced by differing regional market dynamics, customer profiles and cultural preferences</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data for SHS categories is gathered from different regions, but is limited to a small number of countries in each region</li> <li>• Data for solar lanterns is drawn from a variety of sources (mainly East Africa region), many of which only show where lanterns are being used to support enterprise and do not have specific data on customers who are now able to spend more time working outside the home. Therefore, the value for solar lanterns only assumes a very slight increase for any such activity and may be particularly conservative</li> </ul>			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aevarsdottir A., et al. (2017). <i>The impacts of rural electrification on labour supply, income, and health. Experimental evidence with solar lamps in Tanzania.</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in East Africa: Proving Off-Grid Solar is a Power Tool for Change</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in West Africa: Improving Lives, Powering Livelihoods with Off-Grid Solar</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2020). <i>Powering Opportunity in South Asia: From Work to Well-being, the Important Role of Small Scale Solar</i></li> <li>• Azimoh C., et al. (2015). <i>Illuminated but not electrified: An assessment of the impact of Solar Home System on rural households in South Africa</i></li> <li>• FINCA International (2019). <i>First Steps – How Early Adopters Climb the Solar Energy Ladder, data gathered from Uganda</i></li> <li>• Hassan H. &amp; Lucchino, P. (2016). <i>Entrepreneurship, gender and the constraints of time: a randomised experiment on the role of access to light. Data gathered from Kenya</i></li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	2a. People undertaking more economic activity			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work with research partners to better align data sets and capture more information on time spent working due to ownership of a solar lantern</li> </ul>			

# Coefficient Calculations and Values

Coefficient	E: percentage of customers using products to support enterprise			
Definition	The percentage of off-grid solar customers using their products to support an enterprise, or income generating activities in the home e.g. charging phones for a fee or opening a stall, bar or restaurant at night			
System Size	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
East Africa	10%	16%	14%	10%
West Africa	10%	7%	12%	9%
South Asia	10%	5%	10%	12%
Global	10%	5%	10%	9%
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High-quality data sources and primary research, including thousands of interviews with off-grid customers</li> <li>• Research shows that economic activity as a consequence of SHS ownership varies across regions. The resulting variables are influenced by differing regional market dynamics, customer profiles and cultural preferences</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data for SHS categories is gathered from different regions, but is limited to certain countries in each region</li> <li>• Data for solar lanterns is gathered from the East Africa region only</li> </ul>			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 60 Decibels (2019). <i>Aggregate data, prepared for GOGLA by 60 Decibels from Lean Data work in energy</i></li> <li>• Acumen (2015-18). <i>Internal data (Lean Data), data gathered from Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Haiti, India, Kenya, Nigeria, Pakistan, Rwanda, Senegal, Sierra Leone and Uganda</i></li> <li>• Aevarsdottir A., et al. (2017). <i>The impacts of rural electrification on labour supply, income, and health. Experimental evidence with solar lamps in Tanzania.</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in East Africa: Proving Off-Grid Solar is a Power Tool for Change</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in West Africa: Improving Lives, Powering Livelihoods with Off-Grid Solar</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2020). <i>Powering Opportunity in South Asia: From Work to Well-being, the Important Role of Small Scale Solar</i></li> <li>• Azimoh C., et al. (2015). <i>Illuminated but not electrified: An assessment of the impact of Solar Home System on rural households in South Africa.</i></li> <li>• FINCA International (2019), <i>First Steps – How Early Adopters Climb the Solar Energy Ladder, data gathered from Uganda</i></li> <li>• Gray L., et al. (2016). <i>Turning on the Lights: Transcending Energy Poverty Through the Power of Women Entrepreneurs. Miller Center for Social Entrepreneurship. Data from Tanzania</i></li> <li>• GSMA (2016). <i>Mobisol: Pay-As-You-Go Solar for Entrepreneurs in Rwanda</i></li> <li>• GSMA (2015). <i>Fenix International: Scaling Pay-As-You-Go Solar in Uganda</i></li> <li>• Hassan H. &amp; Lucchino, P. (2016). <i>Entrepreneurship, gender and the constraints of time: a randomised experiment on the role of access to light. Data gathered from Kenya</i></li> <li>• IDInsight. (2014). <i>d.light Solar Home System Impact Evaluation. Data gathered from Uganda</i></li> <li>• Mishra, P. et al. (2016). <i>Socio-economic and environmental implications of solar electrification: Experience of rural Odisha • SolarAid. Internal data. (2012-4). Data gathered from Kenya, Malawi, Tanzania and Zambia</i></li> <li>• Urmee and Harries. (2011). <i>Determinants of the success and sustainability of Bangladesh's SHS program</i></li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	2b. People using products to support enterprise			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expand data collection to gather more specific insights on solar lanterns, particularly across the different regions</li> </ul>			

# Coefficient Calculations and Values

Coefficient	T: percentage of customers that spend more time working			
Definition	The percentage of customers spending more time working as a result of using off-grid solar e.g. due to shifting tasks to the evening time as they have more light hours available or as they spend less time travelling to buy fuel or charge phones			
System Size	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
East Africa	5%	16%	13%	11%
West Africa	5%	7%	7%	5%
South Asia	5%	6%	6%	8%
Global	5%	6%	6%	5%
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High-quality data sources, including thousands of interviews with off-grid customers</li> <li>• Research shows that economic activity as a consequence of SHS ownership varies across regions. The resulting variables are influenced by differing regional market dynamics, customer profiles and cultural preferences</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data for SHS categories is gathered from different regions, but still only includes a limited number of countries</li> <li>• Data for solar lanterns is gathered from the East Africa region only</li> </ul>			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adkins, E. (2009). <i>Off-grid energy services for the poor: Introducing LED lighting in the Millennium Villages Project in Malawi</i></li> <li>• Aevarsdottir, A., et al. (2017). <i>The impacts of rural electrification on labour supply, income, and health. Experimental evidence with solar lamps in Tanzania.</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in East Africa: Proving Off-Grid Solar is a Power Tool for Change</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in West Africa: Improving Lives, Powering Livelihoods with Off-Grid Solar</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2020). <i>Powering Opportunity in South Asia: From Work to Well-being, the Important Role of Small Scale Solar</i></li> <li>• FINCA International (2019). <i>First Steps – How Early Adopters Climb the Solar Energy Ladder, data gathered from Uganda</i></li> <li>• Hassan, F. &amp; Lucchino, P. (2016). <i>Entrepreneurship, gender and the constraints of time: a randomised experiment on the role of access to light. Data gathered from Kenya</i></li> <li>• Hassan, F., Lucchino, P. (2014). <i>Powering Education. Enel Foundation: Working paper 17/2014. Data gathered from Kenya</i></li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	2a. People undertaking more economic activity			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expand data collection to gather more specific insights on solar lanterns, particularly across the different regions</li> <li>• Work with research partners to better align data sets and capture more information on time spent working</li> </ul>			

# Coefficient Calculations and Values

Coefficient	IG: percentage of households generating additional income			
Definition	Number of households that are generating additional income as a result of off-grid system ownership e.g. due to use of the system to support enterprise or more time spent working			
System Size	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
East Africa	10%	23%	19%	19%
West Africa	10%	12%	12%	9%
South Asia	10%	9%	7%	10%
Rest of World	10%	9%	7%	9%
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High-quality data sources and primary research, including thousands of interviews with off-grid customers</li> <li>• Research shows that variables around income and income generation as a consequence of SHS ownership, vary across regions. The resulting variables are influenced by differing regional market dynamics, customer profiles and cultural preferences</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data for SHS categories is gathered from different regions, but is limited to certain countries from each of these regions</li> <li>• Research for solar lanterns on the percentage of customers generating additional income is more limited than it is for larger systems, as data sets often look at the overall percentage increase in income (across all customers) rather than the specific income-generating group only</li> </ul>			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 60 Decibels (2019). <i>Aggregate data prepared for GOGLA by 60 Decibels from Lean Data work in energy</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in East Africa: Proving Off-Grid Solar is a Power Tool for Change</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in West Africa: Improving Lives, Powering Livelihoods with Off-Grid Solar</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2020). <i>Powering Opportunity in South Asia: From Work to Well-being, the Important Role of Small Scale Solar</i></li> <li>• Azimoh C., et al. (2015). <i>Illuminated but not electrified: An assessment of the impact of Solar Home System on rural households in South Africa.</i></li> <li>• FINCA International (2019). <i>First Steps – How Early Adopters Climb the Solar Energy Ladder, data gathered from Uganda</i></li> <li>• Gray L., et al. (2016). <i>Turning on the Lights: Transcending Energy Poverty Through the Power of Women Entrepreneurs. Miller Center for Social Entrepreneurship. Data from Tanzania</i></li> <li>• GSMA (2016). <i>Mobisol: Pay-As-You-Go Solar for Entrepreneurs in Rwanda</i></li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	3a. People generating additional income			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work with research partners to better align data sets so that more inputs can be directly used within the variable</li> <li>• Expand data collection to gather more specific insights on solar lanterns, particularly across the different regions</li> </ul>			



# Coefficient Calculations and Values

Coefficient	AI: average additional income generated, per household (annual)			
Definition	Amount of additional income generated as a result of off-grid system ownership; over the expected lifetime of the solar products			
System Size	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
East Africa	\$170	\$306	\$429	\$475
West Africa	\$170	\$263	\$392	\$149
South Asia	\$170	\$548	\$548	\$548
Rest of World	\$170	\$263	\$392	\$149
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High-quality data sources, including thousands of interviews with off-grid customers</li> <li>• Research has shown that variables around income and income generation, as a consequence of SHS ownership, vary across regions. The resulting variables are influenced by differing regional market dynamics, customer profiles and cultural preferences</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data for SHS categories is gathered from different regions, but is limited to certain countries from each of these regions</li> <li>• Research for solar lanterns on the specific dollar amount of additional income created is more limited than it is for larger systems, as data sets often look at the overall percentage increase in income (across all customers) rather than the specific income-generating group only</li> <li>• Please note that the amount of additional income generated per year is an average which hides a wide range. Some households create significant amounts of income through new income streams, whilst others only create a small amount extra per month</li> </ul>			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Acumen (2015–18). <i>Internal data (Lean Data)</i>. Data gathered from Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Haiti, India, Kenya, Nigeria, Pakistan, Rwanda, Senegal, Sierra Leone and Uganda</li> <li>• Aevarsdottir A., et al. (2017). <i>The impacts of rural electrification on labour supply, income, and health. Experimental evidence with solar lamps in Tanzania</i>.</li> <li>• GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in East Africa: Proving Off-Grid Solar is a Power Tool for Change</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in West Africa: Improving Lives, Powering Livelihoods with Off-Grid Solar</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2020). <i>Powering Opportunity in South Asia: From Work to Well-being, the Important Role of Small Scale Solar</i></li> <li>• FINCA International (2019). <i>First Steps – How Early Adopters Climb the Solar Energy Ladder, data gathered from Uganda</i></li> <li>• Gray L., et al. (2016). <i>Turning on the Lights: Transcending Energy Poverty Through the Power of Women Entrepreneurs</i>. Miller Center for Social Entrepreneurship. Data from Tanzania</li> <li>• GSMA (2016). <i>Mobisol: Pay-As-You-Go Solar for Entrepreneurs in Rwanda</i></li> <li>• GSMA (2015). <i>Fenix International: Scaling Pay-As-You-Go Solar in Uganda</i></li> <li>• Hassan H. &amp; Lucchino, P. (2016). <i>Entrepreneurship, gender and the constraints of time: a randomised experiment on the role of access to light</i>. Data gathered from Kenya</li> <li>• IDInsight. (2014). <i>d.light Solar Home System Impact Evaluation</i>. Data gathered from Uganda</li> <li>• Mishra, P. et al. (2016). <i>Socio-economic and environmental implications of solar electrification: Experience of rural Odisha</i></li> <li>• SolarAid, Internal data (2012–4). <i>data gathered from Kenya, Malawi, Tanzania and Zambia</i></li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	3b. Additional income generated, cumulatively			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Work with research partners to better align data sets to increase the number of inputs directly used within the variable</li> <li>• Expand data collection to gather further specific insights on different system size categories in the South Asian market, as well as more specific insights on lanterns across different regions</li> </ul>			



# Coefficient Calculations and Values

Coefficient	R: replacement ratio of kerosene for solar lighting			
Definition	The rate at which the purchase of an improved lighting source i.e. solar product, reduces the regular use of kerosene lanterns			
System Size	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
East Africa	1	1.2	1.3	1.1
West Africa	1	0.2	0.2	0.4
South Asia	1	0.9	0.2	0.3
Rest of World	1	0.4	0.4	0.4
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• High-quality data sources and primary research, including thousands of interviews with off-grid customers</li> <li>• Data has been averaged out from research that also includes homes with no kerosene lamps (e.g. that use solar, candles, grid or diesel generation), so this metric provides an average kerosene replacement rate across all types of off-grid household</li> <li>• Introduction of a regional split shows significant differences for R, which can be attributed to new insights on the baseline energy mix used by people across the different regions</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sub-regional and urban / rural variations in kerosene usage are not represented</li> </ul>			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• GOGLA member companies</li> <li>• 60 Decibels (2019). <i>Aggregate data prepared for GOGLA by 60 Decibels from Lean Data work in energy</i></li> <li>• Acumen. (2015–18). <i>Internal data (Lean Data). Data gathered from Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Haiti, India, Kenya, Nigeria, Pakistan, Rwanda, Senegal, Sierra Leone and Uganda</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in East Africa: Proving Off-Grid Solar is a Power Tool for Change</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in West Africa: Improving Lives, Powering Livelihoods with Off-Grid Solar</i></li> <li>• GOGLA (2020). <i>Powering Opportunity in South Asia: From Work to Well-being, the Important Role of Small Scale Solar</i></li> <li>• Grimm, M., Munyehirwe, A., Peters, J., Sievert, M. (2014). <i>A First Step up the Energy Ladder? Low Cost Solar Kits and Household's Welfare in Rural Rwanda. IZA Discussion Paper Series</i></li> <li>• Kudo, Y., Shonchoy, A., Takahashi, K. (2015). <i>Impacts of Solar Lanterns in Geographically Challenged Locations: Experimental Evidence from Bangladesh. IDE Discussion Paper No. 502</i></li> <li>• Rom, A., Günther, I., Harrison, K. (2016). <i>Economic Impact of Solar Lighting: A Randomised Field Experiment in Rural Kenya. NADEL Center for Development and Cooperation, ETH &amp; Acumen / SolarAid</i></li> <li>• SolarAid. Internal data. (2012–4). <i>Data gathered from Kenya, Malawi, Tanzania and Zambia</i></li> <li>• UNFCCC (2012). <i>Small-scale Methodology: Substituting fossil fuel-based lighting with LED / CFL lighting systems</i></li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>4. Kerosene lanterns replaced</li> <li>5. CO<sub>2</sub>e emissions avoided</li> </ol>			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expand data parameters to better validate sub-regional differences and to uncover a better understanding of national and rural / urban variations in kerosene use</li> </ul>			

# Coefficient Calculations and Values

Coefficient	G: average carbon dioxide and black carbon (CO <sub>2</sub> e) emissions per kerosene lantern			
Definition	The average amount of greenhouse gases, including black carbon, in metric tons, emitted annually by a kerosene lantern			
System Size	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
Default value	0.431			
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Highest-quality external source data available</li> <li>Current default value is updated, assuming a daily kerosene lamp use of 3.5 hours, confirmed by additional data that became available (see L<sub>b</sub>: The general baseline across all types of previous lighting sources, including candles, torches and other solar products, is &gt;3.5 hours). See Annex 2 for more details on the calculation of G</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data uses an average single-point estimate, while emissions from different types of kerosene lamps (pressurized, hurricane and single wick) differ significantly. See Annex 2 for more details</li> </ul>			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>UNEP / GEF en.lighten initiative Off-Grid Country Lighting Assessments: 2.6kg CO<sub>2</sub> per litre of kerosene (kerosene lantern)</li> <li>Analysis incorporating findings on black carbon with support from the author, Dr Nicholas Lam (original source below, details in Annex 2)</li> <li>Lam, N. L. et al. (2012) Household light makes global heat: high black carbon emissions from kerosene wick lamps. <i>Environmental science &amp; technology</i> 46, 13531–13538</li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	5. CO <sub>2</sub> e emissions avoided			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update and review data on kerosene specific hours of baseline lighting use</li> </ul>			

Coefficient	L <sub>b</sub> : average baseline hours of light used, per day / night per household			
Definition	Baseline hours of light used, per day / night per household (i.e. before purchasing a solar product)			
System Size	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
Default value	5.8	3.9	4.1	3.8
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High-quality data sources and primary research, including thousands of interviews with off-grid customers</li> <li>Research shows significant variation around previous source of energy used across regions. The resulting variables are influenced by differing regional market dynamics, energy mix and customer profiles</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Using kerosene lantern run-time as proxy for battery torches and candles</li> </ul>			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>60 Decibels (2019). <i>Aggregate data prepared for GOGLA by 60 Decibels from Lean Data work in energy</i></li> <li>Acumen. (2015–18). <i>Internal data (Lean Data). Data gathered from Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Haiti, India, Kenya, Nigeria, Pakistan, Rwanda, Senegal, Sierra Leone and Uganda</i></li> <li>GOGLEA (2018). <i>Powering Opportunity: The Economic Impact of Off-Grid Solar</i></li> <li>GOGLEA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in West Africa: Improving Lives, Powering Livelihoods with Off-Grid Solar</i></li> <li>GOGLEA (2020). <i>Powering Opportunity in South Asia: From Work to Well-being, the Important Role of Small Scale Solar</i></li> <li>SolarAid. Internal data. (2012–4). <i>Data gathered from Kenya, Malawi, Senegal, Tanzania and Zambia</i></li> <li>UNEP / GEF en.lighten initiative: <i>Off-Grid Country Lighting Assessments</i></li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	6ai. Additional light hours used, by household 6aai. Additional light hours used, cumulatively			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Continue to assess the change in baseline light hours as access to baseline lighting products evolves</li> </ul>			

# Coefficient Calculations and Values

Coefficient	$L_p$ : average post-purchase hours of light used, per day / night per household			
Definition	Post purchase hours of light used, per day / night per household (i.e. after purchasing a solar product)			
System Size	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
Default value	8.1	5.3	5.4	5.7
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High-quality data sources and primary research, including thousands of interviews with off-grid customers</li> <li>Research shows significant variation around previous source of energy used across regions. The resulting variables are influenced by differing regional market dynamics, energy mix and customer profiles</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some available research does not fully capture the change in light use for some larger systems, that are often used for over 6 hours, or products used as overnight security lights</li> </ul>			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>60 Decibels (2019). <i>Aggregate data prepared for GOGLA by 60 Decibels from Lean Data work in energy</i></li> <li>Acumen. (2015–18). <i>Internal data (Lean Data). Data gathered from Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Haiti, India, Kenya, Nigeria, Pakistan, Rwanda, Senegal, Sierra Leone and Uganda</i></li> <li>GOGLA (2018). <i>Powering Opportunity: The Economic Impact of Off-Grid Solar</i></li> <li>GOGLA (2019). <i>Powering Opportunity in West Africa: Improving Lives, Powering Livelihoods with Off-Grid Solar</i></li> <li>GOGLA (2020). <i>Powering Opportunity in South Asia: From Work to Well-being, the Important Role of Small Scale Solar</i></li> <li>SolarAid. Internal data. (2012–4). <i>Data gathered from Kenya, Malawi, Tanzania and Zambia</i></li> <li>UNEP / GEF en.lighten initiative: <i>Off-Grid Country Lighting Assessments</i></li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	6ai. Additional light hours used, by household 6aii. Additional light hours used, cumulatively			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Improve data capture on extended light usage and use of solar for security lighting</li> <li>Continue to assess the change in post-purchase light hours as use of solar lighting products evolves</li> </ul>			

Coefficient	$L_D$ : Average number of days per year that off-grid product is used for lighting			
Definition	The number of days in a year that the off-grid solar product is used for lighting			
System Size	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
Default value	350			
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High-quality data sources and primary research, including thousands of interviews with off-grid customers</li> <li>Data on daily lighting use is averaged across a large sample to capture the average number of days that systems are / are not in use. The average number of days of use is 350</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data average for solar home systems has been used as a proxy figure for solar lanterns</li> </ul>			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>GOGLA (2018), <i>Powering Opportunity: The Economic Impact of Off-Grid Solar</i></li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	6ai. Additional light hours used, by household 6aii. Additional light hours used, cumulatively			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expand data set to better validate this variable and get solar lantern specific data</li> </ul>			

# Coefficient Calculations and Values

Coefficient	$B_b$ : average baseline lumens (brightness) of household lighting use			
Definition	Baseline lumens of household lighting use (i.e. before purchasing a solar product)			
<b>System Size</b>	<b>0.5 – 2.999 Wp</b>	<b>3 – 10.999 Wp</b>	<b>11 – 49.999 Wp</b>	<b>50+ Wp</b>
Default value	35	45	45	45
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High-quality data sources and primary research, including thousands of interviews with off-grid customers</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Average lumen levels</li> <li>For battery torch, based on Kenya specific data with small sample size</li> </ul>			
Sources	<p>Approximate Lumen Outputs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>25 lumens (kerosene lantern)</li> <li>12 lumens (candle)</li> <li>25 lumens (battery torch)</li> <li>20-120 lumens (solar light – mid-setting)</li> <li>100-300 lumens (small solar home system – mid-setting)</li> </ul> <p>Kerosene Lantern</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Alstone, P., et al. (2014). <i>High Life Cycle Efficacy Explains Fast Energy Payback for Improved Off-Grid Lighting Systems</i>. <i>Journal of Industrial Ecology</i></li> <li>Mills E. (2003). <i>Technical and Economic Performance Analysis of Kerosene Lamps and Alternative Approaches to Illumination in Developing Countries</i>. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.</li> </ul> <p>Candle</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Lighting Global, (2010). <i>Light Emitting Diode (LED) Lighting Basics</i>. Technical Note Issue 0</li> </ul> <p>Battery Torch</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Jacobson A., et al. (2010). <i>LED Flashlights in the Kenyan Market: Quality Problems Confirmed by Laboratory Testing</i>. <i>Lighting Africa</i></li> </ul> <p>Solar lanterns / Multi-light Solar Kits</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Various mid-range settings: Lighting Global</li> </ul> <p>Ratio of Baseline Lighting Sources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>60 Decibels (2019), <i>aggregate data prepared for GOGLA by 60 Decibels from Lean Data work in energy</i></li> <li>Acumen. (2015-18). <i>Internal data (Lean Data)</i>. Data gathered from Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Haiti, India, Kenya, Nigeria, Pakistan, Rwanda, Senegal, Sierra Leone and Uganda</li> <li>GOGLA (2018). <i>Powering Opportunity: The Economic Impact of Off-Grid Solar</i></li> <li>SolarAid. Internal data. (2012-4). <i>Data gathered from Kenya, Malawi, Tanzania and Zambia</i></li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	6a.ii. Additional light hours used, cumulatively			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expand data set on lumen outputs for battery powered torches</li> </ul>			

# Coefficient Calculations and Values

Coefficient	$E_b$ : average annual baseline expenditure on energy (lighting and phone charging) – pico solar only			
Definition	Baseline spending on energy* per year in US\$ (i.e. before purchasing a solar product)			
System Size	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
Default value	\$95	\$127	[no data]	[no data]
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High-quality data sources and primary research, including thousands of interviews with off-grid customers</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data used to build these variables is from a limited number of countries</li> <li>Data is drawn from expenditure on lighting and phone charging only and does not include expenditure on transportation costs (for previous energy purchase) or any other fees e.g. paying to watch TV for a fee</li> </ul>			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>60 Decibels (2019), <i>aggregate data prepared for GOGLA by 60 Decibels from Lean Data work in energy</i></li> <li>GOGLA (2018). <i>Powering Opportunity: The Economic Impact of Off-Grid Solar</i></li> <li>Lighting Africa (2011). <i>The Off-Grid Lighting Market in Sub-Saharan Africa: Market Research Synthesis Report</i></li> <li>UNEP / GEF en.lighten initiative. <i>Off-Grid Country Lighting Assessments</i></li> <li>SolarAid. Internal data. (2012–4). <i>Data gathered from Kenya, Malawi, Tanzania and Zambia</i></li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	7ai. Savings on energy expenditure, by household 7aii. Savings on energy expenditure, cumulatively			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expand data collection to more geographic regions</li> </ul>			

Coefficient	$E_p$ : average annual expenditure on energy post-purchase (lighting and phone charging), per household – pico solar only			
Definition	Non-solar, post purchase spending on energy* per year in US\$ (i.e. continued spending on auxiliary sources after purchasing a solar product)			
System Size	0.5 – 2.999 Wp	3 – 10.999 Wp	11 – 49.999 Wp	50+ Wp
Default value	\$22	\$38	[no data]	[no data]
Justification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>High-quality data sources and primary research, including thousands of interviews with off-grid customers</li> </ul>			
Limitations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Data used to build these variables is from a limited number of countries</li> <li>Data is drawn from expenditure on lighting and phone charging only and does not include expenditure on transportation costs (for previous energy purchase) or any other fees e.g. paying to watch TV for a fee</li> </ul>			
Sources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>60 Decibels (2019), <i>aggregate data prepared for GOGLA by 60 Decibels from Lean Data work in energy</i></li> <li>GOGLA (2018). <i>Powering Opportunity: The Economic Impact of Off-Grid Solar</i></li> <li>Lighting Africa (2011). <i>The Off-Grid Lighting Market in Sub-Saharan Africa: Market Research Synthesis Report</i></li> <li>UNEP / GEF en.lighten initiative. <i>Off-Grid Country Lighting Assessments</i></li> <li>SolarAid. Internal data. (2012–4). <i>Data gathered from Kenya, Malawi, Tanzania and Zambia</i></li> </ul>			
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	7ai. Savings on energy expenditure, by household 7aii. Savings on energy expenditure, cumulatively			
Future improvements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Expand data collection to more geographic regions</li> </ul>			

# Coefficient Calculations and Values

## 6.2 Coefficient Values to be Inputted by Organizations

Coefficient	S: number of units sold
Definition	The number of off-grid products sold  This coefficient aims to record the number of products sold since a company / organization's sales began
Guidance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>As the metrics are designed to estimate the impact of good quality solar products on households and communities in the developing world, only products sold in the developing world should be counted</li> <li>In addition, these metrics should only be applied to those products sold by GOGLA Members or other organizations who distribute Lighting Global Quality assured products, or products that deliver the same performance</li> </ul>
Notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Please note that this metric should not include products lost in the supply chain or products that never enter a customer's home e.g. used for marketing or display</li> </ul>
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	1ai. Number of people with improved energy access, cumulatively 2d. People that have opened a new business 3b. Additional income generated, cumulatively 5. CO <sub>2</sub> e emissions avoided 6aii. Additional light hours used, cumulatively 7aii. Savings on energy expenditure, cumulatively

Coefficient	S <sub>L</sub> : number of units sold within lifespan of product (1.5 x warranty period)
Definition	The number of off-grid products that are still in use
Guidance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This coefficient aims to estimate the number of products still in working order, and so conservatively calculates the lifetime of the product as: 1.5 x the product's warranty period</li> <li>As for S, since the metrics are designed to estimate the impact of good quality solar products on households and communities in the developing world, only products sold in the developing world should be counted</li> <li>In addition, these metrics should only be applied to those products sold by GOGLA Members or other organizations who distribute Lighting Global Quality assured products, or products that deliver the same performance</li> </ul>
Notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Please note that this metric should not include products lost in the supply chain or products that never enter a customer's home e.g. used for marketing or display</li> </ul>
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	1aii. Number of people with improved energy access, currently 1bi. Number of people with access to Tier 1 energy services 1bii. Number of people with access to Tier 2 energy services 2a. People undertaking more economic activity 2b. People using products to support enterprise 2c. People spending more time working outside the home 3a. People generating additional income 4. Kerosene lanterns replaced 8. Number of people currently benefitting from clean energy financing (PAYGo only)

# Coefficient Calculations and Values

<b>Coefficient</b>	<b><math>P_L</math>: estimated solar product lifespan (1.5 x warranty)</b>
Definition	The estimated lifetime of the off-grid solar product
Guidance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>This coefficient aims to estimate the number of products still in working order, and so conservatively calculates the lifetime of the product as: 1.5 x the product's warranty period</li> </ul>
Notes	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Please note that this metric should not include products lost in the supply chain or products that never enter a customer's home e.g. used for marketing or display</li> </ul>
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	3b. Additional income generated, cumulatively 5. CO <sub>2</sub> e emissions avoided 6ai. Additional light hours used, by household 6aii. Additional light hours used, cumulatively 7ai. Savings on energy expenditure, by household 7aii. Savings on energy expenditure, cumulatively

<b>Coefficient</b>	<b><math>D_r</math>: discount factor (PAYGo only)</b>
Definition	The percentage of solar products sold that do not end up in customer homes, due to product loss, churn, repossession or default
Guidance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Conservative estimate to be inputted by companies involved in the supply chain</li> </ul>
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	1ai. Number of people with improved energy access, cumulatively 1aii. Number of people with improved energy access, currently 1bi. Number of people with access to Tier 1 energy services 1bii. Number of people with access to Tier 2 energy services 2a. People undertaking more economic activity 2b. People using products to support enterprise 2c. People spending more time working outside the home 2d. People that have opened a new business 3a. People generating additional income 3b. Additional income generated, cumulatively 4. Kerosene lanterns replaced 5. CO <sub>2</sub> e emissions avoided 6a. Additional light hours used, cumulatively 7a. Savings on energy expenditure, cumulatively 8. Number of people currently benefitting from clean energy financing (PAYGo only)

<b>Coefficient</b>	<b><math>B_r</math>: average post-purchase lumens (brightness) of household lighting</b>
Definition	The lumen output of the solar product
Guidance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Preferred source is third-party verified performance by Lighting Global. If this is not available, manufacturer-provided specification sheets can be used</li> <li>If there are multiple settings available, the geometric average of the settings or the mid-range setting should be used</li> </ul>
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	6b. Change in quality of light, by household



# Coefficient Calculations and Values

<b>Coefficient</b>	<b>C: average retail price of solar product; cost to customer (Use for cash sales only)</b>
Definition	The price of the solar product
Guidance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Organizations calculating their own impact should include the retail cost of their product to the end customer</li> <li>• For GOGLA's central reporting, we calculate averages based on GOGLA Member data provided to ensure consistency of calculating and so that weighting occurs at both organization and aggregate levels. Please note that any data shared with GOGLA is done so under a strict privacy and data protection protocol</li> </ul>
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	7ai. Savings on energy expenditure, by household 7aii Savings on energy expenditure, cumulatively

<b>Coefficient</b>	<b>TCO: total cost of ownership; cost to customer (Use for PAYGo only)</b>
Definition	The price of the solar product for the PAYGo businessmodel: Represents the average amount received from a customer repaying the product in full and on time, including deposit payment and all regular daily, weekly, or monthly payments, without applying a financial discount rate to this value
Guidance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Organizations calculating their own impact should include the full cost of ownership of their product to the end customer e.g. including all payments until the product is fully purchased by the customer</li> <li>• For GOGLA's central reporting, we calculate averages based on GOGLA Member data provided to ensure consistency of calculating and so that weighting occurs at both organization and aggregate levels. Please note that any data shared with GOGLA is done so under a strict privacy and data protection protocol</li> </ul>
Relevant metrics where coefficient is used	7ai. Savings on energy expenditure, by household 7aii. Savings on energy expenditure, cumulatively



© M-KOPA Solar

# Standardised Guidelines

In addition to the standardised metrics above, companies are often requested to report on sector specific parameters such as the location of product sales, and the number of jobs directly created e.g. company staff and agents.

These guidelines were developed to encourage companies, investors and stakeholders within the sector to take a common approach to reporting on areas for which is not yet feasible to create metrics.

## Location

When classifying whether sales are made in rural or urban regions, this paper recommends the first approach should be to distinguish urban and rural in the same manner as the National Statistical Office of the country where the sales are reported.

Should this information not be available, the following simplified guidance, based on population size, is proposed.

- **Urban:** towns with a population >5000
- **Rural:** outside of towns, with a population <5000

This guideline is based on the general guidelines established by the International Labour Organisation and the World Bank.

## Company Level Jobs

Off-grid solar companies are often asked to report the number of company-level jobs that they have directly created, and are commonly asked to distinguish between formal and informal jobs. The definitions below propose a standardised definition of the different job categories and suggest an approach to count these jobs based on their full-time equivalency (FTE).

- **Direct Jobs - Formal:** Formal jobs are defined as those created directly by a company through contractual engagement.

Direct, formal jobs are often in manufacturing and assembly, importation, marketing, distribution, retail, customer relations, financing, market research and monitoring & evaluation. These job types typically include higher and middle management.

Reporting formal jobs as FTE: To report the FTE created by formal jobs, data should be drawn from HR systems or knowledge of contracted hours.

- **Direct Jobs – Informal:** Informal jobs are those where the employee has no fixed contract. In the off-grid sector these are commonly commission-based sales agents or technicians who service products on an ad hoc basis.

- **Reporting informal jobs as FTE:** If an agent or other employee is not on a fulltime contract, companies should report the FTE equivalent of the average hours worked, divided by the work week in that particular country.

Should this information not be available through either specific data or management insight, **GOGLA suggests that an average of 0.45 FTE of the agents' time is used to estimate the work performed for the company.** This number is based on the average number of hours worked by commission-based sales agents reported in the GOGLA 2019 publication "Off-Grid Solar. A Growth Engine for Jobs".

## Contributors

These metrics were developed by the GOGLA Impact Working Group, a body of industry practitioners, and academic observers. The revision program was led by the Working Group Chairs and GOGLA's Research Advisor as well as the Impact and Outreach Manager, with the support of researchers from the Schatz Energy Research Center. GOGLA would like to express its thanks to the Working Group Chairs, peer-reviewers and contributing members and observers noted below.

### **Working Group Co-Chair: Nabeela Khan, CDC Group, October 2017 – present**

Nabeela leads on impact for Energy Access and Efficiency within CDC Group, the UK government's development finance institute. It is a major investor in energy infrastructure, including distributed energy, on the continent. She joined CDC to design and execute the Impact Accelerator, a direct investment fund focusing on businesses with challenging risk-return profiles to prepare them towards commercial investors. Over the last three years, Nabeela has helped steer the GOGLA Impact Working Group, bringing with her years of experience in impact investment, measurement and reporting.

### **Working Group Co-Chair: Yomi Jegede, Greenlight Planet, December 2019 – present**

Yomi Jegede is Operations Manager for Greenlight Planet in Nigeria. He joined as co-chair of the Working Group at the end of 2019. He brings with him over four years' operational experience, and knows first-hand of the challenges consumers and agents face, and how their solar products create impact.

### **Working Group Co-Chair: Roeland Menger, ZOLA Electric, May 2018 – October 2019**

As part of his role as Senior Financial Analyst Corporate Finance at ZOLA Electric, Roeland led the organization's impact reporting. He has been an active member of the Working Group, as well as supporting the 'Powering Opportunity' socioeconomic impact research. Roeland has played a key role in bringing the impact calculator tool into existence, both supporting with the thought process and the creation of the initial tool.

**Research Advisory:** Dr. Peter Alstone & Dr. Nicholas Lam, Schatz Energy Research Center Peter Alstone, based out of the Schatz Energy Research Center at Humboldt State University, has authored leading research on the off-grid solar market and the impact and efficiency of off-grid products, amongst numerous other topics. Nicholas Lam is an expert in health and environmental impacts of household energy use. His work was among the first to uncover the impacts of fuel based lighting on climate and the risk of exposure to health damaging air pollutants. Their expertise and inputs to key metrics and variables provided valuable insights that have shaped and contributed to this revision.

### **These updates and whitepaper were coordinated by GOGLA, with management and input by:**

- Susie Wheeldon, Research Advisor
- Eveline Jansen, Outreach & Impact Manager
- Sjef Ketelaars, Project Manager Research
- Silvia Francioso, Data Analyst

### **We would like to kindly thank the following people who reviewed or contributed to this version:**

Mihai Calin – enable|digital  
Raluca Anisie – responsAbility  
Kat Harrison – 60 Decibels  
Rebekah Shirley – Power for All

**GOGLA would also like to thank the following Working Group Industry and Associated Members, 2018-2020, for their work and support on this revision:** Aceleron Energy, AECF, Azuri Technologies, BBOX, CDC, CLASP, d.light, enable|digital, Engie, FINCA, Greenlight Planet, IFC Lighting Global, Intelizon, Lib-Solar, Little Sun, M-KOPA, M-PAYG, Musanadah Foundation for Development, Oikocredit, Power for All, responsAbility, Schatz Energy Research Center, Schneider Electric, Shell New Energies, Smarter Grid International, SolarAid, SUNami Solar, SunFunder, TOTAL, TRINE, Triple Jump, World Bank / ESMAP, ZOLA Electric and Zuwa Energy.

# Bibliography

This section provides useful resources and links to sources used to inform the Impact Metrics.

## Publications

- 60 Decibels (2019). *Aggregate data prepared for GOGLA by 60 Decibels from Lean Data work in energy*
- 60 Decibels (2020). *Why off-grid energy matters*
- Adkins, E., Eapen, S., Kaluwile, F., Nair, G., and Modi, V. (2010). *Off-grid energy services for the poor: Introducing LED lighting in the Millennium Villages Project in Malawi. Energy Policy, 38(2): 1087–97.*
- Aevarsdottir A., et al. (2017). *The impacts of rural electrification on labour supply, income, and health. Experimental evidence with solar lamps in Tanzania.*
- Alstone, P., Lai, P., Mills, E., and Jacobson, A. (2014). *High Life Cycle Efficacy Explains Fast Energy Payback for Improved Off-Grid Lighting System. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 18 (5): 722–733.*
- Apple, J.; Vicente, R.; Yarberry, A.; Lohse, N.; Mills, E.; Jacobson, A.; Poppendieck, D. *Characterization of Particulate Matter Size Distributions and Indoor Concentrations from Kerosene and Diesel Lamps. Indoor Air 2010, 20 (5), 399–411.*
- Azimoh C., et al. (2015). *Illuminated but not electrified: An assessment of the impact of Solar Home System on rural households in South Africa.*
- Bond, T. C.; Doherty, S. J.; Fahey, D. W.; Forster, P. M.; Bernsten, T.; DeAngelo, B. J.; Flanner, M. G.; Ghan, S.; Kärcher, B.; Koch, D.; et al. *Bounding the Role of Black Carbon in the Climate System: A Scientific Assessment. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 2013, 118 (11), 5380–5552.*
- Comprehensive Initiative on Technology Evaluation (CITE) at MIT (2014). *Experimentation in Product Evaluation: The Case of Solar Lanterns in Uganda.*
- GOGLA (2018). *Powering Opportunity: The Economic Impact of Off-Grid Solar. Data gathered from Kenya, Mozambique, Rwanda, Uganda, Tanzania*
- GOGLA (2019). *Powering Opportunity in East Africa: Proving Off-Grid Solar is a Power Tool for Change. Data gathered from Kenya, Mozambique, Rwanda, Uganda, Tanzania*
- GOGLA (2019). *Powering Opportunity in West Africa: Improving Lives, Powering Livelihoods with Off-Grid Solar. Data gathered from Ghana, Nigeria, Cote d'Ivoire, Togo*
- GOGLA (2020). *Powering Opportunity in South Asia: From Work to Well-being, the Important Role of Small Scale Solar. Data gathered from India and Pakistan*
- GOGLA (2019). *Off-Grid Solar. A Growth Engine for Jobs.*
- Gray L., et al. (2016). *Turning on the Lights: Transcending Energy Poverty Through the Power of Women Entrepreneurs. Miller Center for Social Entrepreneurship.*
- Graham S., Tevosyan A., Verploegen E., FIN-CA International (2019). *First Steps – How Early Adopters Climb the Solar Energy Ladder, data gathered from Uganda*
- Grimm, M., Munyehirwe, A., Peters, J., Sievert, M. (2014). *A First Step up the Energy Ladder? Low Cost Solar Kits and Household's Welfare in Rural Rwanda. IZA Discussion Paper Series.*
- GSMA, (2016). *Mobisol: Pay-As-You-Go Solar for Entrepreneurs in Rwanda*
- GSMA, (2015). *Fenix International: Scaling Pay-AsYou-Go Solar in Uganda*
- Hassan H. & Lucchino, P. (2016). *Entrepreneurship, gender and the constraints of time: a randomised experiment on the role of access to light*
- Hassan, F., Lucchino, P. (2014). *Powering Education. Enel Foundation: Working paper 17/2014.*
- IDInsight. (2014). *d.light Solar Home System Impact Evaluation.*
- ILO analysis of criteria based on country and territorial definitions of urban areas published by UN DESA (2018). *World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision*



# Bibliography

- ILO, (2018). *Rural-urban labour statistics*
- Jacobson A., et al. (2010). *LED Flashlights in the Kenyan Market: Quality Problems Confirmed by Laboratory Testing. Lighting Africa*
- Kudo, Y., Shonchoy, A., Takahashi, K. (2015). *Impacts of Solar Lanterns in Geographically Challenged Locations: Experimental Evidence from Bangladesh. IDE Discussion Paper No. 502*
- Jacobson A., et al. (2010). *LED Flashlights in the Kenyan Market: Quality Problems Confirmed by Laboratory Testing. Lighting Africa*
- Lam, Nicholas L., Yanju Chen, Cheryl Weyant, Chandra Venkataraman, Pankaj Sadavarte, Michael A. Johnson, Kirk R. Smith, Benjamin T. Brem, Joseph Arineitwe, and Justin E. Ellis. (2012). "Household Light Makes Global Heat: High Black Carbon Emissions from Kerosene Wick Lamps." *Environmental Science & Technology* 46 (2012): 13531–38.
- Lighting Africa (2011). *The Off-Grid Lighting Market in Sub-Saharan Africa: Market Research Synthesis Report.*
- Lighting Global (2010). *Light Emitting Diode (LED) Lighting Basics. Technical Note Issue 0.*
- Mills, E. (2003). *Technical and Economic Performance Analysis of Kerosene Lamps and Alternative Approaches to Illumination in Developing Countries. Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory.*
- Mishra, P. et al. (2016). *Socio-economic and environmental implications of solar electrification: Experience of rural Odisha*
- Rom, A., Günther, I., Harrison, K. (2016). *Economic Impact of Solar Lighting: A Randomised Field Experiment in Rural Kenya. NADEL Center for Development and Cooperation, ETH & Acumen/SolarAid*
- SEforAll (2013). *Global Tracking Framework.*
- Urmee and Harries. (2011). *Determinants of the success and sustainability of Bangladesh's SHS program*
- UN DESA Population Division (2017). *Population Facts.*
- UNEP / GEF en.lighten initiative (2013). *Off-Grid Country Lighting Assessments.*
- UNFCCC (2012). *Small-scale Methodology: Substituting fossil fuel-based lighting with LED / CFL lighting systems. Internal GOGLA-member data sources*
- Worldbank Blogpost <https://blogs.worldbank.org/sustainablecities/what-does-urban-mean>

## Internal GOGLA-Member Data Sources

- Acumen Lean Data (2016-Ongoing). *Research data from customer interviews in Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Haiti, India, Kenya, Nigeria, Pakistan, Rwanda, Senegal, Sierra Leone and Uganda. Please find more insights in Acumen's Energy Impact Series*
- SolarAid (2012-5). *Research data from public surveys and customer interviews in Kenya, Malawi, Senegal, Tanzania, Uganda, and Zambia*

## Annex 1: SEforALL Factor




The SEforALL factor can be applied where a specific product or service meets a specific Tier of energy access in the Multi-Tracking Framework. The different Tiers of energy access are noted in the chart below. Products that meet Tier 1 can be attributed a Tier 1 [ $D_{T_1}$ ] factor, while those that meet Tier 2 can be attributed a Tier 2 [ $D_{T_2}$ ] factor.

Where a product provides partial Tier 1 a methodology can be applied to calculate how several products combined can create Tier 1 equivalency. The methodology has been created

by SEforALL to account for instances of energy stacking and so that Tier 1 access for an individual is not underrepresented in calculations. This methodology is based on the specific functionality of individual products e.g. (lumen hours, wattage, if mobile charging is possible etc) and can be applied using the SEforALL Calculator Tool.

The approach to calculating Tier 2 is based on an assessment of the wattage (50+ Wp) and / or service provided e.g. whether the product can power a television and fan

**Table 1. Product Categories - Off-Grid Solar Lighting Products**

Overall category	Solar module capacity, Watt Peak (Wp)	Categorization by services provided by product	Corresponding level of Multi-Tier Framework energy access enabled by use of product
 Portable Lanterns	0 – 1.499 Wp (indicative)	Single Light only	Enables <b>partial Tier 1 Electricity Access to an individual person</b>
	1.5 – 2.999 Wp (indicative)	Single Light & Mobile Charging	Enables <b>full Tier 1 Electricity Access to at least one person and contributes to a full household</b>
 Multi-light Systems	3 – 10.999 Wp (indicative)	Multiple Light & Mobile Charging	Enables <b>full Tier 1 Electricity Access to at least one person up to a full household</b>
 Solar Home Systems	<b>11 – 20.999 Wp</b>	SHS, Entry Level (3-4 lights, phone charging, powering radio, fan etc.)	Enables <b>full Tier 1 Electricity Access to a household</b>
	<b>21 – 49.999 Wp</b>	SHS, Basic capacity (as above plus power for TV, additional lights, appliances & extended capacity)	Enables <b>full Tier 2 Electricity Access to a household when coupled with high-efficiency appliances</b>
	<b>50 – 99.999 Wp</b>	SHS, Medium capacity (as above but with extended capacities)	Enables <b>full Tier 2 Electricity Access to a household even using conventional appliances</b>
	<b>100 Wp +</b>	SHS, Higher capacity (as above but with extended capacities)	

## Annex 2: Avoided Emissions from Replacement of Kerosene Lamps

The avoided pollutant emissions from reduced use of a kerosene lamp is calculated as the difference in annual lighting emissions before and after procurement of the solar product.

### Equation 1

$$\text{Emissions}_{\text{Avoided}} = \text{Emissions}_{\text{Before}} - \text{Emissions}_{\text{After}}$$

Emissions are measured in CO<sub>2</sub> / year.

Where CO<sub>2</sub>e is the carbon dioxide equivalents of the pollutants from a kerosene lamp exhibiting an effect on the climate. Note that the approach implicitly assumes that emissions from the solar lamp is zero, and so the avoided emissions is represented only by the change kerosene lamp emissions. For a kerosene lamp, the effect on climate is represented by two pollutants: black carbon (BC) and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>). When estimating the effect of switching off (on) any emission source, it is important to consider both the

pollutants that warm the climate and those that cool it, as switching off (on) sources will influence both. Kerosene lamps emit very little of the pollutants that cool the climate, and the dominant impact of their emissions can be represented by only considering BC and CO<sub>2</sub>, both warming pollutants.

The pollutant emissions of a given lamp in either the before or after phase can be estimated as the product of the rate fuel is burned (BR), the duration of lamp use (Runtime), and the pollutant- and lamp type-specific emission factor (EF). Using CO<sub>2</sub> as an example:

### Equation 2

$$\text{Emissions}_{\text{phase}} = \text{BR} \times (\text{Runtime} \times \text{EF}_{\text{CO}_2} \times 365 \text{ (days/yr)})$$

Emissions	gCO <sub>2</sub> / year	BR	kg Kero / hr
Runtime	hr / day	EF	gCO <sub>2</sub> / kg Kero



© Greenlight Planet



## Annex 2: Avoided Emissions from Replacement of Kerosene Lamps

Table 2 outlines the assumptions used in the equation above for various kerosene lamp types. For BC, the emission factor ( $EF_{BC}$ ) and annual emission can be converted from grams of BC to a  $CO_2$  equivalent ( $CO_2e$ ) by multiplying by the BC mass emissions by the global warming potential (GWP) for BC. A conservative 100-year time horizon GWP of 700 is assumed (the energy that one ton of BC will absorb over 100 years, relative to  $CO_2$  over that time period). GWP estimates are informed by Bond et al. 2013. The emissions from both BC and  $CO_2$  can then be summed to estimate a total emissions from the lamp, in terms of  $CO_2e$  (i.e.  $CO_2e$  / lamp-year).

There are large differences in the emission factors for BC and burn rates across the kerosene lamp types. Thus, when estimating avoided emissions for given context, it is important to consider the mix of lamp types in your customer base or population. For the GOGLA Standardised Impact Metrics, an average mix of lamp types is applied, based on

a review of kerosene usage gathered through market surveys in several different countries. The mix applied is:

- 11% Pressurised Lamps
- 45% Hurricane Lamps
- 44% Single Wick Lights

Based on the above lamp mix, kerosene burn rate and  $CO_2$  / BC ( $CO_2e$ ) emissions factors, and taking a conservative approach to the number of hours of kerosene being avoided (3.5 hours per day), the GOGLA Standardised Impact Metrics default estimate for emissions avoided per solar product is, on average, 431 kg per year (0.431 metric tons).

Please note that the GOGLA Standardised Impact Metric for avoided  $CO_2e$  emissions also considers the solar product replacement ratio for kerosene lamps and the estimated lifetime of each solar product. In Eq. 2, this is represented by a reduction in the runtime of the kerosene lamp.

**Table 2. Assumptions used for estimating emissions from kerosene lighting devices. Table values informed by estimates reported in Lam et al. 2012, Apple et al. 2010 and Bond et al. 2013**

	Units	Pressurized	Hurricane	Single Wick
Kerosene Burn Rate	Kg Kero / hr	0.074	0.017	0.015
	Liters Kero / hr <sup>a</sup>	0.091	0.021	0.018
BC Emission factor ( $EF_{BC}$ )	gBC / kgKero	0	2	80
	gBC / LiterKero	0	1.62	64.8
	g $CO_2e$ / kgKero <sup>b</sup>	0	1400	56,000
$CO_2$ emission factor ( $EF_{CO_2}$ )	g $CO_2$ / kgKero	3100	3100	2900
	g $CO_2$ / LiterKero	2500	2500	2400

<sup>a</sup> Assuming a density of kerosene of 0.81 kg / liter

<sup>b</sup> Estimated by multiplying the mass emission factor by a GWP of 700, informed by estimation in Bond et al. 2013

## Annex 3: Geographical Regions Classification

The regional groupings in this report are in line with those defined by the World Bank country and lending groups. For sub-regional groupings in Sub-Saharan Africa, United Nations categorisation of geographical sub-regions is used.

Below is a quick reference table of countries for East Africa, West Africa and South Asia. 'Global Default' should be used in all other cases. Please note that the impact metric estimates are only applicable in areas where communities are underserved and does not apply to industrialised countries.

**Table 3. Overview of countries included in the (sub)regions of East Africa, West Africa and South Asia**

East Africa Geographic Sub-Region	West Africa Geographic Sub-Region	Southern Asia Geographic Region
British Indian Ocean Territory	Benin	Afghanistan
Burundi	Burkina Faso	Bangladesh
Comoros	Cabo Verde	Bhutan
Djibouti	Côte d'Ivoire	India
Eritrea	Gambia	Iran (Islamic Republic of)
Ethiopia	Ghana	Maldives
French Southern Territories	Guinea	Nepal
Kenya	Guinea-Bissau	Pakistan
Madagascar	Liberia	Sri Lanka
Malawi	Mali	
Mauritius	Mauritania	
Mayotte	Niger	
Mozambique	Nigeria	
Réunion	Saint Helena	
Rwanda	Senegal	
Seychelles	Sierra Leone	
Somalia	Togo	
South Sudan		
Uganda		
United Republic of Tanzania		
Zambia		
Zimbabwe		

**For more information on the categorization adopted from WB and UN, please visit:**

- [datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups](https://datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lending-groups)
- [unstats.un.org/unsd/methods/m49/m49regin.htm#africa](https://unstats.un.org/unsd/methods/m49/m49regin.htm#africa)

Arthur van Schendelstraat 500A  
3511 MH Utrecht  
The Netherlands

[info@gogla.org](mailto:info@gogla.org)  
+31 304 100 914



The Voice of the **Off-Grid Solar Energy** Industry